arXiv:1203.5750v1 [nlin.SI] 26 Mar 2012

Tau Functions and Virasoro Symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov Hierarchies

Chao-Zhong Wu

Marie Curie fellow of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica

SISSA, via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

Abstract

For each Drinfeld-Sokolov integrable hierarchy associated to affine Kac-Moody algebra, we obtain a uniform construction of tau function by using tau-symmetric Hamiltonian densities, moreover, we represent its Virasoro symmetries as linear/nonlinear actions on the tau function. The relations between the tau function constructed in this paper and those defined for particular cases of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies in the literature are clarified. We also show that, whenever the affine Kac-Moody algebra is simply-laced or twisted, the tau functions of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy coincide with the solutions of the corresponding Kac-Wakimoto hierarchy from the principal vertex operator realization of the affine algebra.

Key words: Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy; Kac-Moody algebra; tau function; Virasoro symmetry

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Definition of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies **6**

2.1 Properties of affine Kac-Moody algebra 6
2.2 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies 7

3 Tau function of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies **10**

3.1 Reformulation of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies 10
3.2 Definition of tau function 14

4 Virasoro symmetries **16**

4.1 Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebras and their representations 16
4.2 Virasoro symmetries represented via tau function 18
4.3 Linearization of Virasoro symmetries for ADE-type hierarchies 23

5 Examples 26
5.1 Tau functions of hierarchies of types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$ 26
5.2 Obstacles in linearizing Virasoro symmetries 29

6 Conclusion and remark 32

Appendix A Tau functions of modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies 34
A.1 Enriquez and Frenkel's tau function 35
A.2 Miramontes' tau function 36

1 Introduction

For every affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with an arbitrary vertex of its Dynkin diagram marked, Drinfeld and Sokolov [4] constructed a hierarchy of integrable systems that generalize the celebrated Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation. These integrable hierarchies have very important applications in various areas of mathematical physics like 2 D topological field theory and Gromov-Witten invariants [3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 26, 36]. For instance, the partition function of a topological minimal model of ADE type is given by the logarithm of tau function of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to the corresponding simply-laced affine Kac-Moody algebra. Such a tau function is selected by the string equation, or equivalently, it must have trivial evolution along the flow generated by the Virasoro symmetry of level -1. In this paper we study tau functions of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies and their Virasoro symmetries.

In literature there are mainly two methods to define tau functions for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies (or their generalizations [18]). One of them is based on certain integrable highest weight representation of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, see [19, 21, 30]. In this way, Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies are closely related to the systems of Hirota bilinear equations constructed by Date, Jimbo, Kashiwara and Miwa [2, 22], and by Kac and Wakimoto [23, 24], meanwhile the tau functions are identified with elements of the orbit space of the highest weight vector acted by the affine Lie group. A shortcoming of this method is that, it relies on the representation theory of $\mathfrak{g}$, and usually involves some dressing operators, given implicitly in a sense, of the hierarchies written in zero-curvature form.

The other method is to define tau function via a family of appropriate densities of Hamiltonians that are called to be tau-symmetric in [7]. Such Hamiltonian densities correspond to some special two-point correlation functions whenever the logarithm of the tau function gives a partition function in topological field theory. This method, which does not depend on the representation theory of Lie algebras, works well for the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies associated to affine algebras $A_n^{(1)}$. For example, the $A_1^{(1)}$-type hierarchy is equivalent to the KdV hierarchy:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_j} = [(L^{j/2})_+, L], \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{odd}}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $L = D^2 + u$ with $D = \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x$ and u being a function of the spatial variable x and time variables t_j. The hierarchy (1.1) has the following Hamiltonian representation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_j} = \{u(x), H_j\}, \tag{1.2}$$

in which the Poisson bracket reads

$$\{u(x), u(y)\} = 2u(x)\delta'(x-y) + u'(x)\delta(x-y) + \frac{1}{2}\delta'''(x-y)$$

and the Hamiltonian functionals are

$$H_j = \int h_j(u; \partial_x u, \partial_x^2 u, \dots)\mathrm{d}x, \quad h_j = \frac{2}{j}\mathrm{res}\, L^{j/2}.$$

The densities h_j satisfy the tau-symmetry condition

$$\frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial t_j} = \frac{j}{2}\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial t_i}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{odd}}, \tag{1.3}$$

hence they define locally a tau function τ by

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial x\, \partial t_j} = \frac{j}{2} h_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{odd}}. \tag{1.4}$$

In a similar way, we pushed forward the construction of tau function to all $D_n^{(1)}$-hierarchies, see [29] and Example 5.3 below.

For an arbitrary Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy, however, it was unknown how to choose such tau-symmetric Hamiltonian densities. The original motivation of this paper is to resolve this problem universally, rather than in a case-by-case way. Our first main result is *a uniform construction of tau function for each Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy by*

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial x\, \partial t_j} = -\frac{(\Lambda_j \mid H)}{(\Lambda_j \mid \Lambda_{-j})}, \quad j \in E_+. \tag{1.5}$$

Here H is certain generating function of Hamiltonian densities of the hierarchy, t_j are the time variables corresponding to the generators Λ_j of the principal Heisenberg algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, for which E_+ is the set of positive exponents and $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form, see Section 3 for details. It will be seen that our construction is consistent with the definition of tau function via Hamiltonian densities for each hierarchy of type $A_n^{(1)}$ or $D_n^{(1)}$.

We continue to consider symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies. As the most simple case, the KdV hierarchy is known to possess an infinite set of so-called additional symmetries. These symmetries commute with each flow in the hierarchy (1.1), but do not commute among themselves. Instead, they obey a Virasoro commutation relation; that is why such additional symmetries are also called Virasoro symmetries. More precisely, the Virasoro symmetries for the KdV hierarchy are generated by the infinitesimal transformations [33] of tau function as

$$\tau \mapsto \tilde{\tau} = \tau + \epsilon L_k \tau, \quad k \geq -1, \tag{1.6}$$

where ϵ is a small parameter, and the generators L_k satisfy

$$[L_k, L_l] = (k-l) L_{k+l}, \quad k, l \geq -1.$$

In particular, the first two generators L_{-1} and L_0 correspond to the Galilean and the scaling transformations respectively. The string equation is

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t_1} = L_{-1} \tau; \tag{1.7}$$

it induces a series of constraints to τ that plays an important role in topological field theory and matrix models. Virasoro symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A_n^{(1)}$ or $D_n^{(1)}$ can be constructed by using pseudo-differential operator skills, and they are written as linear actions on tau function like (1.6), see [38] for the cases $D_n^{(1)}$. When the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply-laced, similar description of Virasoro symmetries can be found in [20], where the method of representation theory of $\mathfrak{g}$ was used.

As far as we know, there are no analogous characterizations of Virasoro symmetries via tau function for arbitrary Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies. The reason is probably the lack of an appropriate definition of tau function of them before. As the tau function is defined in (1.5), we obtain another main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 *Given an arbitrary affine Kac-Moody algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$*, the associated Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy possesses Virasoro symmetries generated by the following infinitesimal transformations on tau function:*

$$\tau \mapsto \tilde{\tau} = \tau + \epsilon (V_k \tau + \tau\, O_k), \quad k \geq -1, \tag{1.8}$$

where V_k *are Virasoro operators independent of* τ*, and* O_k *are differential polynomials in second-order derivatives of* $\log \tau$ *with respect to the time variables. Moreover,* $O_k = 0$ *for all* $k \geq -1$ *if* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is simply-laced or twisted, while* $O_{-1} = O_0 = 0$ *if* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of type B, C, F or G.*

This theorem provides a unified description of Virasoro symmetries for all Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies. Firstly, if the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply-laced, the triviality of O_k shows the *linearization of the Virasoro symmetries.* This agrees with previous investigations in [33, 20, 38]; for example, $V_k = L_k$ whenever $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_1^{(1)}$.

The linearization of Virasoro symmetries serves as an essential axiom in Dubrovin and Zhang's topological reconstruction of bi-Hamiltonian integrable hierarchy starting from a semisimple Frobenius manifold [7]. Hence we prove, together with [6], the agreement between the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of simply-laced type and the hierarchies constructed with the method in [7] associated to semisimple Frobenius manifold for ADE-type simple singularities.

Secondly, in case $\mathfrak{g}$ is of non-ADE type, the functions O_k with $k \geq 1$ may not vanish. Namely, the Virasoro symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of non-ADE type may not be linearizable. This claim is checked for some simple cases, but is still open in general. So far as we know, such kind of non-linearizable Virasoro symmetries acting on tau function did not appear in the literature before.

Although Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies associated to twisted affine Kac-Moody algebras contain important examples such like the Sawada-Kotera [31] and the Kaup-Kupershmidt [25] equations (belong to the $A_2^{(2)}$-hierarchy), they seem not have attracted much attention in general. In fact, when the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is twisted, the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy is Hamiltonian [4], and probably has only one (local) Hamiltonian structure [28, 31] such that it is not involved in the framework of [7]. What is most surprising, we now show that this hierarchy has a tau function defined by Hamiltonian densities, as well as linearized Virasoro symmetries. For such a hierarchy, it is unknown whether there is any illustration in topology analogous with the cases of hierarchies of simply-laced type.

Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies for simply-laced affine algebras were shown related to the corresponding Kac-Wakimoto hierarchies by Hollowood and Miramontes [19], see formula (5.13) below. As a byproduct, in the present paper we will clarify that, *if* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is a simply-laced or twisted affine Kac-Moody algebra, then tau functions given in* (1.5) *of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy coincide with solutions of the Kac-Wakimoto hierarchy constructed from the principal vertex operator realization of* $\mathfrak{g}$ (see Theorem 4.10 below).

To achieve the above results, we arrange the contents of this paper as follows.

In the forthcoming section, we recall Drinfeld and Sokolov's original construction of integrable hierarchy on a "loop algebra", that is, the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ modulo the subspace spanned by the central and the scaling elements c and d. The hierarchy is composed of Hamiltonian equations, with Hamiltonian densities being not uniquely determined.

In Section 3, we reformulate the definition of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy on the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. The nontrivial central part helps us to fix the freedom of Hamiltonian densities such that they fulfill the tau-symmetry condition, hence a tau function can be defined as in (1.5).

A Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebra consisting of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a family of derivations on it will be considered in Section 4. We are to revisit the construction of Virasoro symmetries in [20] and extend it to all Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies. The Virasoro symmetries will be represented via tau function in a unified formula (1.8), and they are shown linearized in case $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply-laced or twisted.

Section 5 is a collection of examples. The first two examples show the consistence between the tau function in (1.5) and those defined in the literature for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$. The other examples illustrate how to compute O_k in (1.8) that might give obstacle when linearizing the Virasoro symmetries.

The last section is devoted to conclusion and discussions. We try to divide Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies into three classes according to their Hamiltonian structures and Virasoro symmetries, and discuss their applications.

In order to make this paper more complete, in the appendix we will consider tau functions of hierarchies of modified KdV type from Drinfeld and Sokolov's construction [4]. To avoid lengthy expressions, we call such hierarchies the *modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies*, which are related to the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies (of KdV type) by gauge transformations, see next section for the definition. For untwisted affine Lie algebras, such modified hierarchies were constructed also by Kupershmidt and Wilson [27, 35]. Another equivalent version of these hierarchies was given by Feigin and Frenkel [12]; accordingly Enriquez and Frenkel [8] constructed tau functions of them with the help of tau-symmetric Hamiltonian densities.

It will be seen that the right hand side of (1.5) is invariant with respect to gauge transformations, hence τ also serves as a tau function of the corresponding modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy. This tau function will be shown different from the one defined by Enriquez and Frenkel for modified hierarchies. As a matter of fact, in the modified case, these two tau functions coincide with two special cases of the tau function obtained by Miramontes [30] based on representation theory of $\mathfrak{g}$, see Proposition A.4 below. To our understanding, the constructions of tau function in [8, 30], due to their dependence on gauge transformations, cannot be extended directly to Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of KdV type. That is why we only compare the tau functions in modified cases.

2 Definition of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies

For every affine Lie algebra, Drinfeld and Sokolov's hierarchies associated to different marked vertices of the Dynkin diagram are related by Miura-type transformations. For convenience, in this paper we only consider the case that the vertex is chosen to be the zeroth one, which is the special vertex added to the Dynkin diagram of the corresponding simple Lie algebra.

2.1 Properties of affine Kac-Moody algebra

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{0\le i,j\le n}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type, and $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ be the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{g}'(A) \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, with $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ being the derived algebra, is generated by a set of Weyl generators $e_i, f_i, \alpha_i^\vee$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and a scaling element d. We simply write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}'(A)$. The center of $\mathfrak{g}'$

is spanned by the canonical central element, say, c, which satisfies

$$c = \sum_{i=0}^{n} k_i^{\vee} \alpha_i^{\vee}. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $k_i^{\vee}$ are the dual Kac labels of $\mathfrak{g}'$, i.e., the lowest positive integers that solve the linear equation $\sum_{i=0}^{n} k_i^{\vee} a_{ij} = 0$.

An arbitrary integer vector $\mathrm{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, with $s_i \geq 0$ but not all equal to 0, induces a gradation on $\mathfrak{g}'$ by setting

$$\deg e_i = -\deg f_i = s_i, \quad \deg \alpha_i^{\vee} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

The following two gradations are of particular importance [4, 23]:

(i) the homogeneous/standard gradation

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}'_j \ \text{ induced by } \ \mathrm{s}^0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0); \tag{2.3}$$

(ii) the principal/canonical gradation

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}'^j \ \text{ induced by } \ \mathrm{s}^1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1). \tag{2.4}$$

Conventions like $\mathfrak{g}'_{\geq 0} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}'_i$ and $\mathfrak{g}'^{<0} = \sum_{i<0} \mathfrak{g}'^i$ will be used below.

Let E be the set of exponents of $\mathfrak{g}'$. In $\mathfrak{g}'$ there is a so-called principal Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{s}$, which has a basis $\{c, \Lambda_j \in \mathfrak{g}'^j \mid j \in E\}$ such that

$$[\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j] = \delta_{i,-j}\, i \cdot c. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, $\Lambda_1 = \nu \Lambda$ for some nonzero constant ν, where $\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_i$. The element Λ induces the following decomposition of subspaces:

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{s} + \mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{ad}_{\Lambda}, \quad \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{ad}_{\Lambda} = \mathbb{C}\, c. \tag{2.6}$$

This property is crucial in the construction of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies.

2.2 Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies

Drinfeld and Sokolov's original construction works on the centerless affine Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathbb{C}\, c$. This algebra is graded in the same way as for $\mathfrak{g}'$. Similarly, the homogeneous and principal gradations are written as $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_j$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^j$ respectively. Clearly $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$, which denotes the simple Lie algebra for the Cartan matrix $\mathring{A} = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ of finite type. According to (2.6), the centralizer of $\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_i$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the principal Heisenberg subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathfrak{s}/\mathbb{C}\, c$ of trivial center. This subalgebra contains a basis $\{\Lambda_j \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^j \mid j \in E\}$ with $\Lambda_1 = \nu \Lambda$ for some constant ν.

We use $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, W)$ to denote the set of smooth functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to some linear space W. Consider operators of the form

$$\mathscr{L} = D + \Lambda + q, \quad q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\le 0}), \tag{2.7}$$

where $D = \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}x$ with x being the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.1 ([4]) *There exists a function $U \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{<0})$ such that the operator $\bar{\mathscr{L}} = e^{-\mathrm{ad}_U}\mathscr{L}$ has the form*

$$\bar{\mathscr{L}} = D + \Lambda + H, \quad H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{<0}). \tag{2.8}$$

Suppose $\tilde{U}$ also satisfies the above condition, then $e^{-\mathrm{ad}_{\tilde{U}}} e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_S}$ with some $S \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{<0})$. Moreover, for different choices of U, the function H differs by adding the total derivative of a differential polynomial in (components of) q.

One chooses a function U given in the above proposition, and introduces a map

$$\varphi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad X \mapsto e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} X. \tag{2.9}$$

The gauge transformations of operators of the form (2.7) are defined by

$$\mathscr{L} \mapsto e^{\mathrm{ad}_N}\mathscr{L}, \quad N \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{<0}). \tag{2.10}$$

This is the action of the Lie group of the nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$, with an n-dimensional obit space.

Definition 2.2 ([4]) The Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the zeroth vertex of its Dynkin diagram is a family of partial differential equations of the gauge equivalence class of $\mathscr{L}$, which are defined by

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial t_j} = [-\varphi(\Lambda_j)_{\ge 0}, \mathscr{L}], \quad j \in E_+. \tag{2.11}$$

Here the subscript "≥ 0" means the projection to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\ge 0}$, and E_+ is the set of positive exponents.

Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies restricted to different gauge slices of $\mathscr{L}$ are equivalent up to a gauge transformation (2.10), which are of Miura type. In particular, if the gauge slice is given by q taking value in the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$, then we call the corresponding hierarchy the *modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy.* This name follows from that in the modified case the first nontrivial equation in the hierarchy for $A_1^{(1)}$ is the modified KdV equation, which is related to the KdV equation by the celebrated Miura transformation, see Example A.2 below. One refers to [27, 35, 12, 8] for equivalent versions of such modified hierarchies associated to untwisted affine algebras.

Let $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ be a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. The orbit space of the gauge action is equipped with the following Poisson bracket

$$\{\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G}\}(q) = \left(\mathrm{grad}_q \mathscr{F} \;\Big|\; \left[\mathrm{grad}_q \mathscr{G}, D + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i + q\right]\right), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ are arbitrary gauge invariant local functionals of the form

$$\int K\left(q; \partial_x q, \partial_x^2 q, \dots\right) \mathrm{d}x,$$

and the gradient of a functional $\mathscr{F}$ with respect to q is defined to be $\operatorname{grad}_q \mathscr{F} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\geq 0})$ such that

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \mathscr{F}(q + \epsilon\, \tilde{q}) = (\operatorname{grad}_q \mathscr{F} \mid \tilde{q})$$

for arbitrary $\tilde{q} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\leq 0})$.

Theorem 2.3 ([4]) *The Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy defined by* (2.11) *can be written in a Hamiltonian form as*

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{F}}{\partial t_j} = \{\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{H}_j\}, \quad j \in E_+, \tag{2.13}$$

where the Hamiltonians are

$$\mathscr{H}_j = \int (-\Lambda_j \mid H)\, \mathrm{d}x \tag{2.14}$$

with H given in Proposition 2.1.

Remark 2.4 If the affine Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is untwisted, the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy possesses another Hamiltonian structure that is compatible with (2.13). In other words, it is a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian systems. The bi-Hamiltonian structure was shown to be characterized by the semisimple Frobenius manifold [5] on orbit space of the corresponding Weyl group together with a class of constant invariants [6]. $\square$

In the theory of integrable hierarchies as well as their applications, the concept of tau function plays a significant role. Generally speaking, it is not convenient to study tau function of the hierarchy (2.11) starting from the definition. Instead, Drinfeld and Sokolov proposed a remarkable method to represent their hierarchies into Lax equations of scalar pseudo-differential operators. They wrote down the Lax formalism for the evolutionary equations associated to affine Lie algebras of types $A_n^{(1)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $C_n^{(1)}$, $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. For the hierarchy of type $D_n^{(1)}$, a Lax representation was partially given in [4], and completed by us in [29] with the help of certain extended pseudo-differential operators. See Examples 5.1 and 5.3 below for the Lax representation of the hierarchies of types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$, whose tau functions can be defined via Hamiltonian densities chosen similarly as for the KdV hierarchy. However, such a case-by-case method may not work universally. In next section we are to propose a way to construct tau function for all Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies.

3 Tau function of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies

Given an operator $\mathscr{L}$ in (2.7), the Hamiltonian densities in (2.14) are defined up to adding the total derivative of differential polynomials in q, which depend on the map U in Proposition 2.1. Our idea is to fix a map U appropriately such that the Hamiltonian densities are tau-symmetric, hence a tau function can be defined. To this end, as inspired by [19], we are to reformulate the Drinfeld-Sokolov construction on the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\, c$.

3.1 Reformulation of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies

The operator in (2.7) is just

$$\mathscr{L} = D + \Lambda + q, \quad q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{g}'^{\le 0}). \tag{3.1}$$

In comparison with Proposition 2.1, we have the following

Proposition 3.1 *Given an operator* $\mathscr{L}$ *as* (3.1), *there is a unique function* $U \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}'^{<0})$ *satisfying the following two conditions*

(i) The operator $\bar{\mathscr{L}} = e^{-\mathrm{ad}_U}\mathscr{L}$ *has the form*

$$\bar{\mathscr{L}} = D + \Lambda + H, \quad H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}'^{<0}); \tag{3.2}$$

(ii) For every positive exponent $j \in E_+$, *the central part of* $e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\Lambda_j$ *vanishes, namely*

$$\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\Lambda_j\right)_c = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Here the subscript "c" means to take the coefficient of the center c *with respect to the decomposition* $\mathbb{C}\alpha_1^\vee \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_n^\vee \oplus \mathbb{C}\, c$ *of the Cartan subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}'$.

Moreover, both U *and* H *are differential polynomials in* q.

Proof One writes $e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\bar{\mathscr{L}} = \mathscr{L}$ to

$$e^{\mathrm{ad}_{\sum_{k\le -1} U_k}}\left(D + \Lambda + \sum_{k\le -1} H_k\right) = D + \Lambda + \sum_{k\le 0} q_k, \tag{3.4}$$

where q_k, U_k, H_k take value in $\mathfrak{g}'^k$. By comparing the homogeneous terms we have

$$[U_{-1}, \Lambda] = q_0, \tag{3.5}$$

$$H_{k+1} + [U_k, \Lambda] = *, \quad k = -2, -3, -4, \dots. \tag{3.6}$$

Here for every k the right hand side of (3.6) depends on q_k, H_i $(i > k+1)$ and U_i $(i > k)$.

First of all, equation 3.5 has a unique solution U_{-1}, for which equation (3.3) with $j = 1$ is trivial. When $k < -1$, by virtue of the decomposition (2.6), the functions H_{k+1}

and U_k can be solved recursively from (3.6). In more details, suppose H_i $(i > k+1)$ and U_i $(i > k)$ were given, then H_{k+1} is determined uniquely by (2.6). In finding U_k there are two cases: first, the function U_k is unique whenever $k \notin E$; second, if $k \in E$, then U_k is determined up to the addition of a multiple of Λ_k. But the freedom in the latter case is fixed precisely by the condition (3.3) with $j = -k$. Therefore the proposition is proved. $\square$

Remark 3.2 In [19] Hollowood and Miramontes fix the function U in a different way. They let U take value in $\mathfrak{s}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}'^{<0}$, where $\mathfrak{s}^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{s}$ with respect to the standard bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}'$, see Proposition 2.1 in [19]. For such a U, the corresponding Hamiltonian densities in (2.14) are not what we look for. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *The function H in Proposition 3.1 is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations* (2.10)*.*

Proof Suppose $\mathscr{L}$ is of the form (3.1), let U and H be determined by Proposition 3.1. For $\tilde{\mathscr{L}} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_N}\mathscr{L}$ with any $N \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{g}'^{<0})$, one has

$$\tilde{\mathscr{L}} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_{\tilde{U}}}(D + \Lambda + H), \quad e^{\mathrm{ad}_{\tilde{U}}} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_N} e^{\mathrm{ad}_U},$$

where $\tilde{U} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}'^{<0})$. We need to show that $\tilde{U}$ also satisfies the condition (3.3).

Observe that N has trivial projection to the subspace

$$\mathrm{span}\,\{[f_0, [f_{i_1}, [f_{i_2}, \dots]]]\} \subset \mathfrak{g}'^{<0},$$

in which f_i are Weyl generators. Hence

$$\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_{\tilde{U}}}\Lambda_j\right)_c = e^{\mathrm{ad}_N}\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\Lambda_j\right)_c = 0, \quad j \in E_+.$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Given an operator $\mathscr{L}$ as in (3.1), henceforth we fix the functions U and H as in Proposition 3.1. Similar to (2.9) we now have

$$\varphi : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}') \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}'), \quad X \mapsto e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} X. \tag{3.7}$$

By virtue of the condition (3.3), the evolutionary equations (2.11) are still well defined with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ replaced by $\mathfrak{g}'$ and simultaneity the subscript "≥ 0" becomes the projection $\mathfrak{g}' \to \mathfrak{g}'_{\geq 0}$. These equations compose the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy when restricted to a gauge equivalence class with respect to transformations of the form (2.10).

We proceed to give an equivalent representation of the equations (2.11). Introduce a nonlocal function

$$\Omega = -\int^x H(q; \partial_x q, \partial_x^2 q, \dots)\,\mathrm{d}x, \tag{3.8}$$

namely, $\partial\Omega/\partial x = -H$. We expand Ω as

$$\Omega = \sum_{j \in E_+} \frac{\omega_j}{j} \Lambda_{-j}, \tag{3.9}$$

where ω_j are scalar functions. Since U and Ω take value in $\mathfrak{g}'^{<0}$, the following element of the Lie group of $\mathfrak{g}'$ is well defined

$$\Theta = e^U e^\Omega. \tag{3.10}$$

In fact it can be considered as a formal series that converges with respect to a topology induced by the principal gradation on $\mathfrak{g}'$.

Introduce

$$\mathscr{L}_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + \Lambda_j + q(j), \quad j \in E_+, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\partial/\partial t_1 = \nu\,\partial/\partial x$ and

$$q(j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \nu q - \omega_1 c, & j = 1; \\ (\Theta\Lambda_j\Theta^{-1})_{\geq 0} - \Lambda_j, & j \in E_+ \setminus \{1\}. \end{array} \right. \tag{3.12}$$

Using (2.5) and (3.3), one easily sees

$$q(j)_c = -\omega_j, \quad j \in E_+. \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.4 *The evolutionary equations in* (2.11) *are equivalent to*

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}_1}{\partial t_j} = [-\Lambda_j - q(j), \mathscr{L}_1], \quad j \in E_+. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof The case $j = 1$ is trivial, which yields $\partial/\partial t_1 = \nu\,\partial/\partial x$.

For $j \in E_+ \setminus \{1\}$, clearly

$$-\Lambda_j - q(j) = -(\Theta\Lambda_j\Theta^{-1})_{\geq 0} = -\varphi(\Lambda_j)_{\geq 0} + \omega_j \cdot c.$$

Observe that equation (2.11) is just the off-center part of (3.14), hence we only need to derive from (2.11) the central part of (3.14):

$$-\frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial t_j} = -\frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial t_1}. \tag{3.15}$$

Recall $\mathscr{L} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}(D + \Lambda + H)$. One has (see, for example, Lemma A.1 in [32])

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial t_j} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t_j} + \left[\nabla_{t_j, U} U, \mathscr{L}\right] \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\nabla_{t_j, U} U = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} (\mathrm{ad}_U)^m \frac{\partial U}{\partial t_j}.$$

Substitute (3.16) and $\varphi(\Lambda_j)_{\geq 0} = \varphi(\Lambda_j) - \varphi(\Lambda_j)_{<0}$ into (2.11), then

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t_j} + [e^{-\mathrm{ad}_U}\left(\nabla_{t_j, U} U - \varphi(\Lambda_j)_{<0}\right), D + \Lambda + H] = \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial x} \cdot c. \tag{3.17}$$

According to the decomposition (2.6), we write

$$e^{-\mathrm{ad}_U}\left(\nabla_{t_j,U}U-\varphi(\Lambda_j)_{<0}\right)=G+\tilde G$$

with G and $\tilde G$ taking value in $\mathfrak{s}\cap\mathfrak{g}'^{<0}$ and in $\operatorname{Im}\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda\cap\mathfrak{g}'^{<0}$ respectively. Equation (3.17) splits into three parts:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t_j}-\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}=0, \tag{3.18}$$

$$[G,\Lambda]_c=\frac{\partial\omega_j}{\partial x}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$-\frac{\partial\tilde G}{\partial x}+[\tilde G,\Lambda+H]=0. \tag{3.20}$$

Let $-\mathrm{ad}_{\Lambda_1}$ act on equation (3.18), then it follows from (3.19) that

$$\frac{\partial^2\omega_1}{\partial t_j\partial x}=\nu\frac{\partial^2\omega_j}{\partial x^2}.$$

Both sides of the equality are total derivatives of differential polynomials in q with respect to x, hence it leads to (3.15) by integration (no integral constants exist). The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.5 *The operator Θ in* (3.10) *can be written as $\Theta=e^V$ with V taking value in $\mathfrak{g}'_{<0}$. Moreover, it satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial t_j}=(\Theta\Lambda_j\Theta^{-1})_{<0}\,\Theta,\quad j\in E_+. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof First of all, one can write $\Theta=e^V$, where $V\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathfrak{g}'^{<0})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_1=&\nu\mathscr{L}-\omega_1c=e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}+\Lambda_1+\nu H\right)-\omega_1\,c\\ =&\Theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}+\Lambda_1\right)\Theta^{-1}=e^{\mathrm{ad}_V}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}+\Lambda_1\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

then in the same way as (3.16) we have

$$\frac{\partial\mathscr{L}_1}{\partial t_j}=[\nabla_{t_j,V}V,\mathscr{L}_1].$$

If $j>1$,

$$[\nabla_{t_j,V}V,\mathscr{L}_1]=[-(e^V\Lambda_j)_{\ge0},\mathscr{L}_1]=[(e^{\mathrm{ad}_V}\Lambda_j)_{<0},\mathscr{L}_1].$$

Denote $\Delta(j)=e^{-\mathrm{ad}_V}(\nabla_{t_j,V}V-(e^{\mathrm{ad}_V}\Lambda_j)_{<0})$, then $\Delta(j)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathfrak{g}'^{<0})$ and

$$\left[\Delta(j),\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}+\Lambda_1\right]=0. \tag{3.23}$$

According to the decomposition (2.6), we derive

$$\Delta(j) = \sum_{i\in E, i<-1} c_i \Lambda_i$$

with some constants c_i. But equation (3.23) is independent of q, hence by letting $q = 0$ (which implies $U = 0$ and $\Omega = 0$) one obtains $\Delta(j) = 0$. Thus

$$\nabla_{t_j,V} V - (e^{\mathrm{ad}_V}\Lambda_j)_{<0} = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

This is just an equivalent version of (3.21) with $j > 1$.

We simply write $V \in \mathfrak{g}'^{<0}$. In particular, equation (3.24) yields $\nabla_{t_j,V_0} V_0 = 0$ for $V_0 = V|_{g'_0}$. Decompose V_0 as $V_0 = V_{0,-1} + V_{0,-2} + \dots$ with $V_{0,k} \in \mathfrak{g}'^k \cap \mathfrak{g}'_0$. By induction one has $\partial V_{0,k}/\partial t_j = 0$ for all $j \in E_+ \setminus \{1\}$, which implies that $V_{0,k}$ are constants. These constants are zero for the same reason as above. Thus $V_0 = 0$, namely, $V \in g'_{<0}$.

Finally, since $V \in g'_{<0}$, it follows from (3.22) that

$$q(1) = \left(\Theta\Lambda_1\Theta^{-1} - \Theta\frac{\partial\Theta^{-1}}{\partial t_1}\right)_{\geq 0} - \Lambda_1 = (\Theta\Lambda_1\Theta^{-1})_{\geq 0} - \Lambda_1. \tag{3.25}$$

In the same way as for $j > 1$, equality (3.21) holds also for $j = 1$. Therefore the lemma is proved. $\square$

Remark 3.6 According to Proposition 2.2 of [19], for certain two gradations $\mathbf{s} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}'$ there exists a unique gauge slice of q such that the dressing operator Θ has the form as in Lemma 3.5. Lemma 3.5 shows that, when $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{s}'$ are the homogeneous and principal gradations respectively, such an operator Θ can be uniquely constructed for arbitrary q. $\square$

3.2 Definition of tau function

It is ready to define tau function of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy (3.14).

We combine (3.12) and (3.25) then have

$$q(j) = (\Theta\Lambda_j\Theta^{-1})_{\geq 0} - \Lambda_j, \quad j \in E_+. \tag{3.26}$$

Hence the operators $\mathscr{L}_j$ in (3.11) are rewritten uniformly as

$$\mathscr{L}_j = \Theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + \Lambda_j\right)\Theta^{-1}. \tag{3.27}$$

Clearly,

$$[\mathscr{L}_i, \mathscr{L}_j] = 0, \quad i, j \in E_+. \tag{3.28}$$

This fact also confirms the commutativity between the flows in (3.14).

Considering the central part of (3.28), one has

$$\frac{\partial q(j)_c}{\partial t_i}-\frac{\partial q(i)_c}{\partial t_j}+[\Lambda_i+q(i),\Lambda_j+q(j)]_c=0,\quad i,j\in E_+. \tag{3.29}$$

Since $\Lambda_i+q(i)$ lie in $\mathfrak{g}'_{\geq 0}$, then $[\Lambda_i+q(i),\Lambda_j+q(j)]_c=0$. Hence equation (3.29) is just

$$\frac{\partial\omega_j}{\partial t_i}=\frac{\partial\omega_i}{\partial t_j}. \tag{3.30}$$

Given any solution of the hierarchy (3.14), there locally exists a smooth function τ of $\mathbf{t}=(t_j)_{j\in E_+}$ such that

$$\omega_j=\frac{\partial\log\tau}{\partial t_j},\quad j\in E_+. \tag{3.31}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\log\tau$ is independent of the choice of gauge equivalence class of $\mathscr{L}$.

Definition 3.7 The function τ satisfying (3.31) is called tau function of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy (2.11).

Let us consider how the tau function is related to the densities of Hamiltonians in Theorem 2.3. The Hamiltonian densities are

$$h_j=(-\Lambda_j\mid H),\quad j\in E_+ \tag{3.32}$$

with H given in Proposition 3.1, and they are invariant with respect to gauge transformations. Recall the definition of ω_j in (3.9), one has

$$\frac{1}{j}\frac{\partial\omega_j}{\partial x}=\frac{(\Lambda_j\mid -H)}{(\Lambda_j\mid\Lambda_{-j})}=\frac{h_j}{(\Lambda_j\mid\Lambda_{-j})}. \tag{3.33}$$

This together with (3.30) leads to

$$\frac{j}{(\Lambda_j\mid\Lambda_{-j})}\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial t_i}=\frac{i}{(\Lambda_i\mid\Lambda_{-i})}\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial t_j},\quad i,j\in E_+. \tag{3.34}$$

It means that a family of tau-symmetric Hamiltonian densities of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy is found. With the help of these densities, equation (3.33) is recast to (cf. (1.4))

$$\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial x\,\partial t_j}=\frac{j}{(\Lambda_j\mid\Lambda_{-j})}h_j. \tag{3.35}$$

Hence the tau function of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy can be defined equivalently by

$$\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial t_i\,\partial t_j}=\frac{j}{(\Lambda_j\mid\Lambda_{-j})}\partial_x^{-1}\left(-\Lambda_j\mid\frac{\partial H}{\partial t_i}\right),\quad i,j\in E_+. \tag{3.36}$$

The right hand side is independent of the choice of invariant bilinear form, in which $\partial H/\partial t_i$ is a total derivative (see (3.18)), and the integral constant is assumed to be zero. Thus $\log\tau$ is determined up to the addition of a linear function of the time variables.

4 Virasoro symmetries

It was showed [20] that the (generalized) Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to an untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra possesses certain additional symmetries. These symmetries obey a Virasoro commutation relation, hence they are also called Virasoro symmetries. Now we want to revisit the construction in [20] and derive such symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to any affine algebra. Our aim is to represent these Virasoro symmetries via the tau function defined in the previous section.

4.1 Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebras and their representations

Based on [23, 34], we review the extension of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ to a Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebra, as well as certain representations of them. Suppose the Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{0\le i,j\le n}$ is of affine type $X_N^{(r)}$; the lowest positive integers k_i satisfying

$$A(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n)^T = 0$$

are called Kac labels of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. Consider a set of nonnegative integer vectors

$$\Gamma = \{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \mid s_i \ge 0, s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_n > 0\}. \tag{4.1}$$

For every $\mathrm{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \Gamma$, denote

$$N_{\mathrm{s}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} k_i s_i. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the simple Lie algebra of type X_N, on which there is a diagram automorphism of order r. Given an integer vector $\mathrm{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \Gamma$, it induces a $\mathbb{Z}/rN_{\mathrm{s}}\mathbb{Z}$-gradation $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{rN_{\mathrm{s}}-1} \mathcal{G}_k$ (see § 8.6 of [23] for details). The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ graded by s can be realized as

$$\mathfrak{g}(A;\mathrm{s}) = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\lambda^k \otimes \mathcal{G}_{k \mod rN_{\mathrm{s}}}\right) \oplus \mathbb{C}\, c \oplus \mathbb{C} d_0^{(\mathrm{s})}, \tag{4.3}$$

in which c is the canonical central element, and the Lie bracket between $X(k), Y(k) \in \lambda^k \otimes \mathcal{G}_{k \bmod rN_{\mathrm{s}}}$ and $d_0^{(\mathrm{s})}$ is defined by

$$[X(k), Y(l)] = [X, Y](k + l) + \delta_{k,-l} \frac{k}{rN_{\mathrm{s}}} (X \mid Y)_0\, c, \tag{4.4}$$

$$[d_0^{(\mathrm{s})}, X(k)] = k\, X(k). \tag{4.5}$$

Here $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_0$ is the standard bilinear form on $\mathcal{G}$. An element $X(k)$ will be written more precisely as $X(k; \mathrm{s})$ whenever it is necessary to distinguish the gradation s from others.

On the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}'(A;\mathrm{s})$ of $\mathfrak{g}(A;\mathrm{s})$ one introduces a family of derivations $d_l^{(\mathrm{s})}$ ($l \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that

$$[d_l^{(\mathrm{s})}, X(k)] = kX(k + rN_{\mathrm{s}}l), \quad [d_l^{(\mathrm{s})}, c] = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

$$[d_k^{(\mathrm{s})}, d_l^{(\mathrm{s})}] = rN_{\mathrm{s}}(l-k)d_{k+l}^{(\mathrm{s})}. \tag{4.7}$$

These derivations generate an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra, say, $\mathfrak{d}^{(\mathrm{s})}$, of Virasoro type. Thus a Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{d}^{(\mathrm{s})} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}'(A;\mathrm{s})$ is constructed.

Following the notations in § 8.3 of [23], the simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ contains certain elements written as E_i, F_i and H_i with $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. These elements give a set of Weyl generators of $\mathfrak{g}'(A;\mathrm{s})$ in the following way:

$$e_i^{(\mathrm{s})} = E_i(s_i), \quad f_i^{(\mathrm{s})} = F_i(-s_i), \quad \alpha_i^{\vee(\mathrm{s})} = H_i(0) + \frac{k_i s_i}{k_i^{\vee} N_{\mathrm{s}}} c \tag{4.8}$$

with k_i and $k_i^{\vee}$ being the Kac labels and the dual Kac labels respectively. Hence $d_k^{(\mathrm{s})}$ can be considered as derivations on $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$.

For arbitrary gradations $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}' \in \Gamma$, there is a natural isomorphism between $\mathfrak{g}'(A;\mathrm{s})$ and $\mathfrak{g}'(A;\mathrm{s}')$ induced by

$$e_i^{(\mathrm{s})} \mapsto e_i^{(\mathrm{s}')}, \quad f_i^{(\mathrm{s})} \mapsto f_i^{(\mathrm{s}')}.$$

Up to such an isomorphism, one has the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([34]) *Given two gradations* $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}' \in \Gamma$*, the corresponding derivations on* $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ *satisfy*

$$d_k^{(\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{s}')} = d_k^{(\mathrm{s})} + d_k^{(\mathrm{s}')}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$[d_k^{(\mathrm{s})}, d_l^{(\mathrm{s}')}] = rN_{\mathrm{s}} l d_{k+l}^{(\mathrm{s}')} - rN_{\mathrm{s}'} k d_{k+l}^{(\mathrm{s})} \tag{4.10}$$

for all integers k *and* l*.*

In the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}'(A;\mathrm{s})$, one introduces the following elements

$$h_{\mathrm{s}} = (\alpha_1^{\vee(\mathrm{s})}, \ldots, \alpha_n^{\vee(\mathrm{s})}) \left(\mathring{A}^T\right)^{-1} (s_1, \ldots, s_n)^T, \tag{4.11}$$

$$H_{\mathrm{s}} = (H_1(0), \ldots, H_n(0)) \left(\mathring{A}^T\right)^{-1} (s_1, \ldots, s_n)^T. \tag{4.12}$$

Lemma 4.2 (Lemma 2.4 in [34]) *Let* $\mathrm{s}^0 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \Gamma$ *be the homogeneous gradation. For any* $\mathrm{s} \in \Gamma$ *it holds that*

$$d_k^{(\mathrm{s})} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{N_{\mathrm{s}}}{k_0} d_0^{(\mathrm{s}^0)} + h_{\mathrm{s}}, & k = 0; \\[2ex] \dfrac{N_{\mathrm{s}}}{k_0} d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^0)} + H_{\mathrm{s}}(rk_0 k; \mathrm{s}^0), & k \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Consider highest weight representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras. Denote $\mathbf{x} = (x_j)_{j\in E_+}$. On the Fock space $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ one defines an action of the principal Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ by

$$c \mapsto 1; \quad \Lambda_j \mapsto -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \Lambda_{-j} \mapsto -j\, x_j, \quad j \in E_+. \tag{4.14}$$

This action generates a highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{s}$.

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem 14.6 in [23]) *Suppose the Dynkin diagram of the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ is simply laced or twisted, then the action of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ on the Fock space $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ given by (4.14) can be lifted to a basic representation of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ on $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$, and, the highest weight vector is 1.*

Recall the principal gradation $\mathrm{s}^1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \Gamma$, and realize $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ as $\mathfrak{g}(A; \mathrm{s}^1)$. The following result is implied by Theorems 3.2 and 5.1 in [34].

Theorem 4.4 *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 4.3, the action of $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ on $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ given there can be uniquely extended to a $\mathfrak{d}_k^{(\mathrm{s}^1)} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}'(A; \mathrm{s}^1)$-action by setting*

$$d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^1)} \mapsto -L_k(\partial/\partial\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}), \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$L_k(\partial/\partial\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j\in E_+} \left(p_{rhk-j} p_j + p_{-j} p_{rhk+j}\right) \tag{4.16}$$

with $h = N_{\mathrm{s}^1}$ being the Coxeter number, and

$$p_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad p_{-j} = j\, x_j, \qquad j \in E_+.$$

4.2 Virasoro symmetries represented via tau function

We proceed to construct Virasoro symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy inspired by [20], and represent them by using the tau function defined in the previous section.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an arbitrary affine Kac-Moody algebra. For the homogeneous and the principal gradations $\mathrm{s}^0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathrm{s}^1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, we normalize the derivations on $\mathfrak{g}'$ as

$$d_k = -\frac{1}{r N_{\mathrm{s}^0}} d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^0)} = -\frac{1}{r k_0} d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^0)}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$d'_k = -\frac{1}{r N_{\mathrm{s}^1}} d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^1)} = -\frac{1}{r h} d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^1)}. \tag{4.18}$$

Note that $N_{\mathrm{s}^0} = k_0$ and $N_{\mathrm{s}^1} = h$. These normalized derivations satisfy

$$[d_k, d_l] = (k - l) d_{k+l}, \quad [d'_k, d'_l] = (k - l) d'_{k+l}. \tag{4.19}$$

From Lemma 4.2 it follows that

$$d_k - d'_k = \frac{1}{rh}\left(d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^1)} - \frac{h}{k_0}d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^0)}\right) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{rh}h_{\mathrm{s}^1}, & k = 0; \\ \\ \dfrac{1}{rh}H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(rk_0k;\mathrm{s}^0), & k \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

Clearly $d_k - d'_k \in \mathfrak{g}'_{rk_0k}$ in the notations for the homogeneous gradation (2.3).

We fix the generators $\Lambda_j \in \mathfrak{g}'^j$ with $j \in E$ of the principle Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ such that

$$[\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j] = \delta_{i,-j}\, i \cdot c, \quad [d'_k, \Lambda_j] = -\frac{j}{rh}\Lambda_{j+rhk} \ \text{ for all } \ k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.21}$$

Given any integer k, introduce

$$\tilde{B}_k = d'_k - \sum_{i\in E_+}\frac{it_i}{rh}\Lambda_{i+rhk} + \frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{\substack{i,j\,\in\, E_+\\ i+j=-rhk}} ijt_it_j \cdot c, \tag{4.22}$$

where the third term on the right hand side exists only if $k < 0$. It is straightforward to check

$$\left[\tilde{B}_k, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + \Lambda_j\right] = 0. \tag{4.23}$$

Let

$$B_k = \Theta\tilde{B}_k\Theta^{-1} - d_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.24}$$

Note that $B_k \in \mathfrak{g}'$.

For $k \geq -1$, define evolutionary equations as follows

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}_1}{\partial \beta_k} = \left[-(B_k)_{<0}, \mathscr{L}_1\right]. \tag{4.25}$$

The right hand side can be rewritten as $[(B_k)_{\geq 0} + d_k, \mathscr{L}_1]$, hence the equations (4.25) are well defined by comparing the degrees with respect to the homogeneous and the principal gradations and by an analysis as in the proof of Lemma 3.4. These flows are assumed to commute with $\partial/\partial t_1 = \nu\,\partial/\partial x$.

With the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have the following useful formula

$$\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\beta_k} = -(B_k)_{<0}\Theta, \quad k \geq -1. \tag{4.26}$$

This formula immediately leads to

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}_j}{\partial \beta_k} = [-(B_k)_{<0}, \mathscr{L}_j] = \left[(B_k)_{\geq 0} + d_k, \mathscr{L}_j\right], \quad j \in E_+. \tag{4.27}$$

Proposition 4.5 *The following assertions are true (cf. Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 in [20]):*

(i) The flows (4.25) *commute with those in* (3.14)*, namely,*

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\right]\Theta = 0, \quad k \geq -1, \quad j \in E_+. \tag{4.28}$$

(ii) For $k, l \geq -1$,

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_l}\right]\Theta = (l-k)\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\beta_{k+l}}. \tag{4.29}$$

Proof The first assertion follows from a straightforward calculation by using (4.26) and (3.21). Let us check the second assertion, which is a little more nontrivial.

Using (4.19) and (4.21), one has

$$[\tilde{B}_k, \tilde{B}_l] = (k-l)\tilde{B}_{k+l}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_l}\Theta \\
=& -\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}(\Theta\tilde{B}_l\Theta^{-1} - d_l)_{<0}\Theta \\
=&(B_l)_{<0}(B_k)_{<0}\Theta + [(B_k)_{<0}, \Theta\tilde{B}_l\Theta^{-1}]_{<0}\Theta,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_l}\right]\Theta\cdot\Theta^{-1} \\
=& [(B_l)_{<0}, (B_k)_{<0}] + [(B_k)_{<0}, B_l + d_l]_{<0} - [(B_l)_{<0}, B_k + d_k]_{<0} \\
=&[B_k, B_l]_{<0} + [(B_k)_{<0}, d_l]_{<0} + [d_k, (B_l)_{<0}]_{<0} \\
=& \left([B_k + d_k, B_l + d_l] - [d_k, d_l]\right)_{<0} \\
=& \left((k-l)(B_{k+l} + d_{k+l}) - (k-l)d_{k+l}\right)_{<0} \\
=&(k-l)(B_{k+l})_{<0} \\
=&(l-k)\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\beta_{k+l}}\Theta^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Note that in the third equality we have used $[d_k, B_{\geq 0}]_{<0} = 0$ for any element $B \in \mathfrak{g}'$ and integer $k \geq 1$. The proposition is proved. □

The commutativity (4.28) of flows means that the equations (4.23) define symmetries for the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy (2.11). Such symmetries are called the *Virasoro symmetries* due to the commutation relation (4.29).

Now we arrive at the main result of the present section.

Theorem 4.6 *For $k \geq -1$, the tau function in* (3.31) *of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial\log\tau}{\partial\beta_k} = \frac{1_{k\geq 1}}{rh}O'_k + \frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=rhk}\frac{\partial\log\tau}{\partial t_i}\frac{\partial\log\tau}{\partial t_j}$$

$$+\frac{1}{rh}\sum_{i>-rhk} it_i\frac{\partial\log\tau}{\partial t_{i+rhk}}+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=-rhk} ijt_it_j+\delta_{k,0}C_A. \tag{4.31}$$

Here $1_{k\geq 1}$ *equals* 1 *whenever* $k\geq 1$ *and vanishes otherwise,*

$$O'_k=rh\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}d_k-d_k\right)_c-\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(rk_0k;\mathrm{s}^0)\right)_c \tag{4.32}$$

with U *given in Proposition 3.1 and* $H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(rk_0k;\mathrm{s}^0)$ *introduced in* (4.12)*, all indices* $i,j\in E_+$*, and* C_A *is a constant depending on the Cartan matrix.*

Proof From (4.27) we have

$$\frac{\partial q(j)_c}{\partial\beta_k}=-\frac{\partial(B_k)_c}{\partial t_j}. \tag{4.33}$$

The left hand side is

$$-\frac{\partial\omega_j}{\partial\beta_k}=-\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial\beta_k\partial t_j}; \tag{4.34}$$

let us compute $(B_k)_c$ on the right hand side of (4.33).

It is straightforward to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\mathrm{ad}_\Omega}\tilde{B}_k=&e^{\mathrm{ad}_\Omega}\left(d'_k-\sum_{i\in E_+}\frac{it_i}{rh}\Lambda_{i+rhk}+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=-rhk}ijt_it_j\cdot c\right)\\
=&d'_k-\frac{1}{rh}\sum_{i\in E_+}\omega_i\Lambda_{-i+rhk}+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=rhk}\omega_i\omega_j\cdot c\\
&-\sum_{i\in E_+}\frac{it_i}{rh}\Lambda_{i+rhk}-\sum_{i>-rhk}\frac{it_i}{rh}\frac{\omega_{i+rhk}}{i+rhk}(-i-rhk)\cdot c\\
&+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=-rhk}ijt_it_j\cdot c\\
=&d'_k-\frac{1}{rh}\sum_{i\in E_+}(\omega_i\Lambda_{-i+rhk}+it_i\Lambda_{i+rhk})+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=rhk}\omega_i\omega_j\cdot c\\
&+\frac{1}{rh}\sum_{i>-rhk}i\,t_i\,\omega_{i+rhk}\cdot c+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=-rhk}ijt_it_j\cdot c.\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

By virtue of the property of U given in Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(B_k)_c=&\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}e^{\mathrm{ad}_\Omega}\tilde{B}_k-d_k\right)_c\\
=&\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}d'_k-d_k\right)_c+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=rhk}\omega_i\omega_j\\
&+\frac{1}{rh}\sum_{i>-rhk}i\,t_i\,\omega_{i+rhk}+\frac{1}{2rh}\sum_{i+j=-rhk}ijt_it_j.\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Denote $O'_k = rh\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} d'_k - d_k\right)_c$. Clearly,

$$O'_{-1} = 0, \quad O'_0 = rh(d'_0 - d_0)_c = -\left(h_{\mathrm{s}^1}\right)_c = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

When $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} O'_k =& rh\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\left(d_k - \frac{1}{rh}H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(rk_0k;\mathrm{s}^0)\right) - d_k\right)_c \\ =& rh\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} d_k - d_k\right)_c - \left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(rk_0k;\mathrm{s}^0)\right)_c. \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

We substitute (4.34), (4.36)–(4.38) into (4.33), then obtain (4.31) by integration with respect to t_j. The constant C_A is chosen such that $\partial/\partial\beta_k$ acting on τ obey the Virasoro commutation relation. The theorem is proved. □

In general, we only know that O'_k are differential polynomials in second derivatives of $\log\tau$ with respect to the time variables t_j. The reason is that in Proposition 3.1 the function U is a differential polynomial in q, which can be chosen canonically as a differential polynomial in the first n Hamiltonian densities $h_j = (-\Lambda_j \mid H)$ with $0 < j < rh$. How to compute O'_k will be illustrated by examples in next section.

The Sugawars construction of L_k in (4.16) can be extended to an arbitrary affine Kac-moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let

$$V_k = \frac{1}{rh}L_k(\partial/\partial\mathbf{t};\mathbf{t}) + \delta_{k,0}\cdot C_A, \tag{4.39}$$

then they satisfy

$$[V_k, V_l] = (k-l)V_{k+l}, \quad k, l \geq -1.$$

Equation (4.31) is recast to

$$\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial\beta_k} = V_k\tau + \tau\, O_k, \quad k \geq -1, \tag{4.40}$$

where

$$O_k = \begin{cases} 0, & k = -1, 0; \\ \\ \dfrac{1}{rh}\left(O'_k - \dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{i+j=rhk}\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial t_i\,\partial t_j}\right), & k \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.41}$$

In particular, the symmetries generated by $\partial/\partial\beta_{-1}$ and $\partial/\partial\beta_0$, which stand for the invariance with respect to the Galilean and the scaling transformations respectively, are linearized when acting on the tau function. Such kind of linearization of Virasoro symmetries with $k \geq 1$ is not valid for all Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies for the obstacle O_k may not vanish.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The theorem follows from (4.40) and Proposition 4.8 below. □

4.3 Linearization of Virasoro symmetries for ADE-type hierarchies

In this subsection we only consider the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies associated to simply-laced or twisted affine Lie algebras, for which the Virasoro symmetries acting on the tau function will be seen linearized.

Lemma 4.7 *Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be a simply-laced or twisted affine Lie algebra. The basic representation given in Theorem 4.3 induces an action of the Lie group on the Fock space $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$, then the operator Θ introduced in* (3.10) *satisfies*

$$\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1=\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})}, \tag{4.42}$$

where τ is the tau function of the corresponding Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy.

Proof In the basic representation $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ of $\mathfrak{g}'$, every element $X\in\mathfrak{g}'_{\ge 0}$ satisfies $X\cdot 1=X_c$. For each $j\in E_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}+\Lambda_j\right)\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1&=\Theta^{-1}\mathscr{L}_j\cdot 1\\&=\Theta^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}+q(j)+\Lambda_j\right)\cdot 1\\&=\Theta^{-1}q(j)_c\,c\cdot 1\\&=-\omega_j\,\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1.\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Denote $\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1=f(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x})$, then the above equation is just

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)f(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x})=-\frac{\partial\log\tau(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_j}f(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}). \tag{4.44}$$

This equation together with the "boundary" condition $f(\mathbf{t},0)=\left(\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1\right)|_{\mathbf{x}=0}=1$ implies $f(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x})=\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})/\tau(\mathbf{t})$. The lemma is proved. □

Proposition 4.8 *Suppose the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ is simply-laced or twisted, then the Virasoro symmetries* (4.25) *for the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy act linearly on the tau function. More precisely,*

$$\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial\beta_k}=V_k\tau,\quad k\ge -1, \tag{4.45}$$

where the operators V_k are given in (4.39).

Proof We only need to show the cases $k\ge 1$. The proof is almost the same as that of Proposition 4.2 in [20] for simply-laced affine Lie algebras.

Consider the basic representation $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ of $\mathfrak{g}'$ as in Theorem 4.3. It follows from the formula (4.42) that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1=\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})}=\frac{1}{\tau(\mathbf{t})}\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\partial\beta_k}-\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})^2}\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{t})}{\partial\beta_k}. \tag{4.46}$$

On the other hand, let us extend the basic representation $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ to a module of the Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebra as in Theorem 4.4. Recall p_i given in (4.14), set

$$\Lambda_j \mapsto -p_j, \quad j \in E; \quad d'_k \mapsto \frac{1}{rh} L_k(\partial/\partial\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1\\
=&-\Theta^{-1}\frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial\beta_k}\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1 = \Theta^{-1}(B_k)_{<0}\cdot 1\\
=&\Theta^{-1}B_k\cdot 1 - \Theta^{-1}(B_k)_{\geq 0}\cdot 1\\
=&\tilde{B}_k\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1 - \Theta^{-1}d_k\cdot 1 - (B_k)_c\Theta^{-1}\cdot 1\\
=&\left(\frac{1}{rh}L_k(\partial/\partial\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{rh}\sum_{j\in E_+} j t_j p_{j+rhk}\right)\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})} - 0\\
&-\frac{\partial\log\tau(\mathbf{t})}{\partial\beta_k}\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})}\\
=&\frac{1}{\tau(\mathbf{t})}\frac{1}{rh}L_k(\partial/\partial\mathbf{x};\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})^2}\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{t})}{\partial\beta_k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

From (4.46) and (4.47) it follows that

$$\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\partial\beta_k} = \frac{1}{rh}L_k(\partial/\partial\mathbf{x};\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x}), \quad k \geq 1,$$

which is recast to (4.45) by a translation of variables: $\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{t}$. The proposition is proved. □

Comparing equations (4.31) and (4.45), we have

Corollary 4.9 *For any simply-laced or twisted affine algebra, the functions O'_k defined in* (4.32) *can be written as*

$$O'_k = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i+j=rhk}\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial t_i\,\partial t_j}, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{4.48}$$

That is, $O_k = 0$ for $k \geq -1$.

We digress to consider another very important application of Lemma 4.7. Recall that Kac and Wakimoto [24] constructed a hierarchy of Hirota bilinear equations based on the principal vertex operator realization of the basic representation of $\mathfrak{g}'$. Every solution of these equations is a point of the orbit space of the highest weight vector acted by the Lie group.

Theorem 4.10 *For any simply-laced or twisted affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$, the tau functions defined in* (3.31) *of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy coincide with the solutions of the corresponding Kac-Wakimoto hierarchy. The variables of these two hierarchies are related via a basis of the principal subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ according to the relations* (2.11) *and* (4.14).

Proof Given a tau function $\tau(\mathbf{t})$ of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy, then the formula (4.42) implies that $\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})/\tau(\mathbf{t})$ is a solution of the Kac-Wakimoto hierarchy of Hirota equations with variable $\mathbf{x}$. By setting $\mathbf{t} \to 0$ one sees that $\tau(\mathbf{x})$ also solves the Hirota equations due to their bilinearity and translation invariance with respect to $\mathbf{x}$.

Conversely, suppose $\tau(\mathbf{x})$ is a solution of the Kac-Wakimoto hierarchy. The substitution of τ into the right hand side of (4.42) determines an element $\Theta = e^V$ with V lying in $\mathfrak{g}'_{<0}$. Note that Θ depends on the variables t_j. For each $j \in E_+$, introduce $\mathscr{L}_j = \Theta\left(\partial/\partial t_j + \Lambda_j\right)\Theta^{-1}$. They act on the highest weight vector as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_j \cdot 1 =& \Theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})} \cdot 1 \\ =& -\frac{\partial \log \tau(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_j}\frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau(\mathbf{t})}\Theta \cdot 1 \\ =& -\frac{\partial \log \tau(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_j},\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

which is independent of $\mathbf{x}$. It implies that $\mathscr{L}_j = \partial/\partial t_j + \Lambda_j + q(j)$, where $q(j) \in \mathfrak{g}'_{\geq 0}$ and

$$q(j)_c = -\frac{\partial \log \tau(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_j}.$$

The following zero-curvature equations

$$[\mathscr{L}_i, \mathscr{L}_j] = 0, \quad i, j \in E_+ \tag{4.50}$$

are well defined. These equations recovers the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy, of which the tau function defined by (3.35) is just $\tau(\mathbf{t})$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

Remark 4.11 The conclusions in the present subsection depend on the basic representation of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$; they may not be valid for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies associated to other than the zeroth vertex of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}'$. For example, the Virasoro symmetries for the $A_2^{(2)}$-hierarchy associated to the vertex labeled 1 of the Dynkin diagram are not linearized; note that the first non-trivial equation in this hierarchy is also known as the Kaup-Kupershmidt equation [25]. $\square$

To end this section, we shall emphasize our inspirations from [19, 20]. In fact, for each simply-laced affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ Hollowood and Miramontes proved the following equality (see equation (5.1) in [19]):

$$\Theta^{-1} \cdot v_{\mathrm{s}} = \frac{\tau_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{x})}{\tau_{\mathrm{s}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{t})}, \tag{4.51}$$

with the method of certain "big cell" factorization of the Lie group of $\mathfrak{g}'$. Here Θ is a dressing operator lying in the Lie subgroup $U_-(\mathrm{s})$, v_{s} is the highest weight vector in an integrable highest weight representation $L(\mathrm{s})$, and τ_{s} is the tau function of Hirota equations from Kac and Wakimoto's construction [24]. Equation (4.51) provides a map from solutions of a Kac-Wakimoto hierarchy to those of the zero-curvature hierarchy (4.50) of Drinfeld-Sokolov type given by Θ. This equation was further employed in [20] to obtain the linearized Virasoro symmetries for generalized Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies associated to simply-laced affine Lie algebras, whose tau function is considered to be τ_{s}.

Inspired by Hollowood, Miramontes and Snchez Guillén [19, 20], we now obtain, in a more straight forward way, the formula (4.42) of the form (4.51) (note the distinct definitions of the tau functions) whenever the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ is simply-laced or twisted. In such cases, moreover, we clarify in Theorem 4.10 the equivalence between the Drinfeld-Sokolov and the Kac-Wakimoto hierarchies.

5 Examples

Let us present some examples. The first two of them show that our universal construction of tau function of Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies agrees with relevant investigation in the literature on hierarchies of types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$, while the other examples illustrate the obstacles in linearizing the Virasoro symmetries (4.40).

In the examples below we will use a matrix realization of $\mathfrak{g}$ of affine type $X_N^{(r)}$ corresponding to the homogeneous gradation s^0 as in (4.3)–(4.5). The standard bilinear form $(\cdot\mid\cdot)_0$ on $\mathcal{G}$ in (4.4) is the Killing form for special linear algebras, and is half the Killing form for special orthogonal algebras. It induces the standard bilinear form on the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ as

$$\left(X\otimes\lambda^k+\alpha\,c\mid Y\otimes\lambda^l+\beta\,c\right)=\delta_{k,-l}\frac{1}{r}\left(X\mid Y\right)_0,\quad X,Y\in\mathcal{G}. \tag{5.1}$$

On $\mathfrak{g}'$ the derivations d_k reads

$$d_k=-\frac{1}{rk_0}\lambda^{1+rk_0k}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda},\quad k\in\mathbb{Z}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $k_0=2$ whenever $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ and $k_0=1$ otherwise.

5.1 Tau functions of hierarchies of types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$

Example 5.1 Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be an affine Lie algebra of type $A_n^{(1)}$. It can be realized by choosing Weyl generators as follows [4, 23]:

$$e_0=\lambda\,e_{1,n+1},\quad e_i=e_{i+1,i}\quad(1\le i\le n), \tag{5.3}$$

$$f_0=\frac{1}{\lambda}e_{n+1,1},\quad f_i=e_{i,i+1}\quad(1\le i\le n), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\alpha_i^\vee = [e_i, f_i] \quad (0 \le i \le n), \tag{5.5}$$

where $e_{i,j}$ is the $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix with its (i,j)-component being 1 and the others being zero. One has $\Lambda = e_0 + e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. A basis of the principal Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is $\{c, \Lambda_j = \Lambda^j \in \mathfrak{g}'^j \mid j \in E\}$ with $E = \mathbb{Z} \setminus (n+1)\mathbb{Z}$ being the set of of exponents of $\mathfrak{g}'$.

Take the operator $\mathscr{L}$ to be the following canonical form

$$\mathscr{L}^{\text{can}} = D + \Lambda + q^{\text{can}}, \quad q^{\text{can}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -u_n \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & 0 & 0 & -u_2 \\ & & & 0 & -u_1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.6}$$

The equations in (2.11) can be represented as

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_j} = [(L^{j/(n+1)})_+, L], \quad j \in E_+, \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &= D^{n+1} + u_1 D^{n-1} + \cdots + u_{n-1} D + u_n, \\ L^{1/(n+1)} &= D + v_1 D^{-1} + v_2 D^{-2} + \cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

and the multiplication between pseudo-differential operators are defined by

$$u D^k \cdot v D^l = \sum_{m \ge 0} u D^m(v) D^{k+l-m}.$$

Clearly $\partial/\partial t_1 = \partial/\partial x$. The hierarchy (5.7) is just the Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy [15], or the nth KdV hierarchy.

The Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy (5.7) carries two compatible Hamiltonian structures, with (2.12) usually being called the second one. The densities of the Hamiltonian functionals are

$$\hat{h}_j = \frac{n+1}{j} \operatorname{res} L^{j/(n+1)}, \quad j \in E_+. \tag{5.9}$$

Note that the residue of a pseudo-differential operator is defined by $\operatorname{res} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i D^i = a_{-1}$. It is easy to check

$$\frac{j}{n+1}\frac{\partial \hat{h}_j}{\partial t_i} = \frac{i}{n+1}\frac{\partial \hat{h}_i}{\partial t_j}, \quad i, j \in E_+. \tag{5.10}$$

Given any solution of the hierarchy (5.7), there locally exits a tau function $\hat{\tau}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \hat{\tau}}{\partial t_i \, \partial t_j} = \frac{j}{n+1} \partial_x^{-1} \frac{\partial \hat{h}_j}{\partial t_i}, \quad i, j \in E_+. \tag{5.11}$$

Proposition 5.2 *For the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A_n^{(1)}$, the tau function $\hat{\tau}$ in* (5.11) *coincides*[1] *with τ defined in* (3.35).

Proof It is sufficient to identify the second-order derivatives of $\log\hat{\tau}$ and $\log\tau$ with respect to the time variables.

On the one hand,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log\hat{\tau}}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{n+1}\hat{h}_1 = \operatorname{res} L^{1/(n+1)} = \frac{u_1}{n+1}. \tag{5.12}$$

On the other hand, for $\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{can}}$ we do the calculation as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Equation (3.5) now reads

$$[U_{-1}, \Lambda] = q_0^{\mathrm{can}} = 0,$$

which implies $U_{-1} = 0$. The first equation in (3.6) is

$$H_{-1} + [U_{-2}, \Lambda] = q_{-1}^{\mathrm{can}} = -u_1\, e_{n,n+1},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau}{\partial x^2} =& \frac{(-\Lambda_1 \mid H)}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})}\\ =& \frac{1}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})}(-\Lambda_1 \mid -[U_{-2}, \Lambda] + q_{-1}^{\mathrm{can}})\\ =& \frac{1}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})}(-\Lambda_1 \mid -u_1\, e_{n,n+1})\\ =& \frac{u_1}{n+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

It follows from (5.12) and (5.13) that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau}{\partial x\, \partial t_j} - \frac{\partial^2 \log\hat{\tau}}{\partial x\, \partial t_j}\right) = 0, \quad j \in E_+.$$

The difference between the parentheses is a differential polynomial in $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ without free term, thus it vanishes indeed. In the same way, we derive

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau}{\partial t_i\, \partial t_j} - \frac{\partial^2 \log\hat{\tau}}{\partial t_i\, \partial t_j} = 0, \quad i, j \in E_+.$$

The proposition is proved. □

Example 5.3 Assume the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ is of type $D_n^{(1)}$, and it is realized as in [29], see also [4, 37]. We choose a family of generators of the principal Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ as

$$\Lambda_k = \sqrt{2}\,\Lambda^k, \quad \Lambda_{-k} = \sqrt{2}\,\Lambda^{-k}, \tag{5.14}$$

---

[1] In the present paper we say that two tau functions are the same if their logarithms differ by addition of a linear function of the time variables.

$$\Lambda_{k(n-1)'} = -\sqrt{2n-2}\,\Gamma^k, \quad \Lambda_{-k(n-1)'} = -\sqrt{2n-2}\,\Gamma^{-k} \tag{5.15}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{odd}}$, where Λ and Γ are two certain $2n \times 2n$ matrices given in § 4.2 of [29]. Note that the constant $\nu = \sqrt{2}$, and that $k(n-1)$ are double exponents of $\mathfrak{g}'$ whenever n is even.

Introduce a pseudo-differential operator

$$L = D^{2n-2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D^{-1}\left(u_i D^{2i-1} + D^{2i-1} u_i\right) + D^{-1}\rho D^{-1}\rho, \tag{5.16}$$

There are uniquely two operators

$$P = D + v_1 D^{-1} + v_2 D^{-2} + \cdots, \quad Q = D^{-1}\rho + \hat{v}_1 D + \hat{v}_2 D^2 + \cdots$$

such that $P^{2n-2} = L = Q^2$. The following integrable hierarchy are well defined [4, 29]:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = \left[\sqrt{2}\,(P^k)_+, L\right], \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{k(n-1)'}} = \left[-\sqrt{2n-2}\,(Q^k)_-, L\right], \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{odd}}, \tag{5.17}$$

which is equivalent to the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy (2.11) of type $D_n^{(1)}$.

The hierarchy (5.17) carries a bi-Hamiltonian structure, with Hamiltonian densities being tau symmetric. Hence a tau function $\hat{\tau}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{d}\left(2\,\partial_x \log \hat{\tau}\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{odd}}} \left(\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{res}\, P^k\, \mathrm{d}t_k + \sqrt{2n-2}\,\mathrm{res}\, Q^k\, \mathrm{d}t_{k(n-1)'}\right). \tag{5.18}$$

With the same method as for Proposition 5.2, we obtain the following

Proposition 5.4 *For the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type* $D_n^{(1)}$*, the tau functions* $\hat{\tau}$ *in* (5.18) *and* τ *in* (3.35) *coincide.*

Based on this proposition, it is easy to see that the Virasoro symmetries (4.45) are consistent with those derived in [38] with skills of pseudo-differential operators.

Remark 5.5 In a not so straightforward way, Propositions 5.2 and 5.4 can be considered as corollaries of Theorem 4.10 by using relevant results in [24, 29]. □

5.2 Obstacles in linearizing Virasoro symmetries

Example 5.6 We realize the Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebra for $A_1^{(1)}$ as follows: the Weyl generators of $\mathfrak{g}'$ are given in (5.3)–(5.5) with $n = 1$, and the derivations on $\mathfrak{g}'$ are (5.2) with $r = k_0 = 1$. Note that

$$\alpha_1^\vee = H_1 = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1), \quad \alpha_0^\vee = H_0 + c = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1) + c. \tag{5.19}$$

According to (4.12), one has $H_{\mathrm{s}^1} = \dfrac{1}{2} H_1$, and then

$$H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(k; \mathrm{s}^0) = \frac{\lambda^k}{2} H_1. \tag{5.20}$$

Given

$$\mathscr{L} = D + \Lambda + q^{\mathrm{can}} = D + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.21}$$

we compute the function U and H determined as in Proposition 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} U =& U_{-2} + U_{-3} + U_{-4} + \cdots \\ =& \frac{u}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1/\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix} + \frac{u_x}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2/\lambda \\ 1/\lambda^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \left(\frac{u^2}{8} + \frac{u_{xx}}{16} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -1/\lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H =& -\frac{h_1}{2} \Lambda_{-1} - \frac{h_3}{2} \Lambda_{-3} - \cdots \\ =& -\frac{u}{2} \Lambda_{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u^2}{4} + \frac{u_{xx}}{12} \right) \Lambda_{-3} - \cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Here the subscript x means the partial derivative with respective to it: $u_x = \partial_x u$, $u_{xx} = \partial_x^2 u$, etc. Thus

$$O_1' = 0 - \left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(1; \mathrm{s}^0) \right)_c = \frac{1}{4} h_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial t_1^2}, \tag{5.24}$$

$$O_2' = 2 \left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} d_2 - d_2 \right)_c - \left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(2; \mathrm{s}^0) \right)_c = \frac{3}{2} h_3 = \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial t_1 \partial t_3}. \tag{5.25}$$

The results agree with Corollary 4.9, and show the linearization of Virasoro symmetries for the KdV hierarchy in a straightforward way.

Example 5.7 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_2^{(1)}$, whose Cartan matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The elements E_i, F_i and H_i in subsection 4.1 can be realized by 5×5 matrices as

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}(e_{1,4} + e_{2,5}), \quad E_1 = e_{2,1} + e_{5,4}, \quad E_2 = e_{3,2} + e_{4,3}, \tag{5.26}$$

$$F_0 = 2(e_{4,1} + e_{5,2}), \quad F_1 = e_{1,2} + e_{4,5}, \quad F_2 = 2(e_{2,3} + e_{3,4}), \tag{5.27}$$

$$H_i = [E_i, F_i] \quad (i = 0, 1, 2). \tag{5.28}$$

These elements give a set of Weyl generators according to (4.8) for the homogeneous gradation s^0, hence $\mathfrak{g}(A;\mathrm{s}^0)$ is realized.

Note $\Lambda = E_1+E_2+\lambda E_0$. The set of exponents of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $E=\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{odd}}$, and the generators normalized by (4.21) of the principal Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ are

$$\Lambda_k = \sqrt{2}\,\Lambda^k, \quad \Lambda_{-k} = \sqrt{2}\,(\lambda^{-1}\Lambda^3)^k, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{odd}}. \tag{5.29}$$

Choose $\mathscr{L}$ to be the canonical form

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{can}} = D+\Lambda+q^{\mathrm{can}}, \quad q^{\mathrm{can}} = -u\,(e_{1,2}+e_{4,5}) - v\,(e_{1,4}+e_{2,5}). \tag{5.30}$$

In the same way as before, we compute the function U and the generating function H of Hamiltonian densities. Note that $\partial/\partial t_1 = \sqrt{2}\,\partial/\partial x$.

Clearly,

$$H_{\mathrm{s}^1} = (H_1,H_2)\left(\mathring{A}^T\right)^{-1}(1,1)^T = 2H_1+\frac{3}{2}H_2, \tag{5.31}$$

and $H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(k;\mathrm{s}^0) = \lambda^k\,H_{\mathrm{s}^1}$. A straightforward calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} O_1' =& 0 - \left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(1;\mathrm{s}^0)\right)_c \\ =& \frac{5}{3}\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial t_1\,\partial t_3} + \frac{1}{6}\frac{\partial^4\log\tau}{\partial\,t_1^4} + \left(\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial\,t_1^2}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

It implies $O_1\neq 0$ in (4.40), namely, the symmetry $\partial/\partial\beta_1$ acting on the tau function cannot be linearized.

Example 5.8 Based on the matrix realization of the simple Lie algebra A_2 in Example 5.1, one chooses Weyl generators of the twisted affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of type $A_2^{(2)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &e_0 = \lambda(e_{2,1}+e_{3,2}), \quad e_1 = e_{1,3}, \\ &f_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda}(e_{1,2}+e_{2,3}), \quad f_1 = e_{3,1}, \\ &\alpha_0^\vee = H_0 + c = -2\,e_{1,1}+2\,e_{3,3}+c, \\ &\alpha_1^\vee = H_1 = e_{1,1}-e_{3,3}. \end{aligned}$$

They are 3×3 matrices. The derivations (5.2) corresponding to the homogeneous realization are

$$d_k = -\frac{1}{4}\lambda^{1+4k}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\Lambda = e_0+e_1$. The principal Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ contains a set of generators

$$\Lambda_j = \sqrt{2}\,\Lambda^j, \quad j\equiv \pm 1 \mod 6, \tag{5.33}$$

which satisfy the normalization condition (4.21). Take the canonical form of the operator $\mathscr{L}$ as

$$\mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{can}} = D+\Lambda+q^{\mathrm{can}}, \quad q^{\mathrm{can}} = -u\,f_1.$$

We compute the matrix-value functions U and H according to Proposition 3.1. In particular, the first two Hamiltonian densities given by H are

$$h_1 = (-\Lambda_1 \mid H) = \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{5.34}$$

$$h_5 = (-\Lambda_5 \mid H) = -\frac{1}{135\sqrt{2}}(5u^3 + 15uu_{xx} + 3u_{xxxx}). \tag{5.35}$$

One has $H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(4k; \mathrm{s}^0) = (\lambda^{4k}/2)\, H_1$. Thus

$$O_1' = 6(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} d_1 - d_1)_c - (e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} H_{\mathrm{s}^1}(4; \mathrm{s}^0))_c = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{3} h_5 = \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial t_1 \partial t_5}, \tag{5.36}$$

which agrees with Corollary 4.9.

Remark 5.9 The first nontrivial equation of the $A_2^{(2)}$-hierarchy is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_5} = -\frac{1}{108}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\left(20u^3 + 30u\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t_1^2} + 3\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t_1^4}\right), \tag{5.37}$$

which is also known as the Sawada-Kotera equation [31]. This equation carries a generalized bi-Hamiltonian structure [14] consisting of a local and a nonlocal operators. In fact, we showed that equation (5.37) possesses exactly one local Hamiltonian structure [28] that is the first Hamiltonian structure in [14]. □

6 Conclusion and remark

We have obtained a unified characterization of tau function and Virasoro symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to any affine Kac-Moody algebra and the zeroth vertex of the Dynkin diagram. This together with the results in [4, 6] suggests us, although a complete proof is still missing, to divide Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies into three classes as follows:

Class	Affine algebra $X_N^{(r)}$	Hamiltonian structure	Virasoro symmetries
I	$A_n^{(1)}$, $D_n^{(1)}$, $E_{6,7,8}^{(1)}$	bi-Hamiltonian	linearizable
II	$B_n^{(1)}$, $C_n^{(1)}$, $F_4^{(1)}$, $G_2^{(1)}$	bi-Hamiltonian	non-linearizable
III	$A_{2n}^{(2)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$, $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, $E_6^{(2)}$, $D_4^{(3)}$	Hamiltonian	linearizable

The hierarchies in Class I are bi-Hamiltonian and have linearized Virasoro symmetries acting on tau function. Hence they coincide, up to a rescaling of the time variables, with the topological deformations constructed by Dubrovin and Zhang [7] of principal hierarchies associated to semisimple Frobenius manifolds for Weyl groups of types A, D and E. Their tau functions defined in (3.35) that admit some special constraints give partition functions of 2 D topological minimal models, as well as Givental's total descendant potentials for simple singularities [16, 17, 37, 13].

Each hierarchy in Class II also carries a bi-Hamiltonian structure whose leading term is associated to a semisimple Frobenius manifold. However, its Virasoro symmetries are expected to be non-linearizable. In fact, the non-linearizability of Virasoro symmetries for the $B_n^{(1)}$-hierarchies is implied by the failure in reducing the additional symmetries of the BKP hierarchy to symmetries of $B_n^{(1)}$-hierarchies with the method of [38], the assertion for exceptional cases can be checked straightforwardly, hence in principle only the cases $C_n^{(1)}$ need to be considered (we will study it in a separate publication). The meaning of the obstacles in linearizing Virasoro symmetries still need to be clarified, which might illustrate the observation of the absence of a consistent higher-genus expansion beyond genus one in topological minimal models associated to Lie algebras other than ADE type [9].

A every hierarchy in Class III has very similar property with those in Class I except that it may not possess more than one (local) Hamiltonian structure like the $A_2^{(2)}$-hierarchy. Hierarchies of this kind are still far from being well studied. It is interesting to find some topological meaning or axiomatic construction for them such as in [7].

Generally speaking, a tau function that gives partition function in topological field theory is selected by the string equation. Based on Lax representation in pseudo-differential operators for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A_n^{(1)}$ or $D_n^{(1)}$, it was shown that the string equation induces a series of Virasoro constraints to the tau function [1, 38]. Such constraints to the tau function τ_{s} in (4.51) of any Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to simply-laced affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ were derived in [20] by using certain realization of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is not hard to generalize the deduction in [20] to all Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies.

For the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to arbitrary affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, assume its tau function τ in (3.35) satisfies the string equation

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t_1} = V_{-1}\tau. \tag{6.1}$$

This equation is just $\partial\tau/\partial t_1 = \partial\tau/\partial\beta_{-1}$, hence it follows from (3.21) and (4.26) that

$$\left(\Theta\Lambda_1\Theta^{-1}\right)_{<0} = -(B_{-1})_{<0}. \tag{6.2}$$

Denote

$$P_k = \Theta\Lambda_{1+rh(k+1)}\Theta^{-1} + B_k, \quad k \ge -1. \tag{6.3}$$

First of all, one has $(P_{-1})_{<0} = 0$.

Consider the realization of $\mathfrak{g}$ graded by the homogeneous gradation s^0 and the derivations on it, see Section 4 and (5.2). Since

$$d_k^{(\mathrm{s}^0)} = \lambda^{1+rk_0k}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}, \qquad \Lambda_{j+rh(k+1)} = \lambda^{rk_0}\Lambda_{j+rhk},$$

one observes that $P_{k+1} = \lambda^{rk_0}P_k$. According to the homogeneous gradation,

$$(P_k)_{<0} = (\lambda^{(k+1)k_0}P_{-1})_{<0} = \lambda^{(k+1)k_0}(P_{-1})_{<-k-1} = 0, \quad k > -1. \tag{6.4}$$

Therefore $\partial\tau/\partial t_{1+rh(k+1)} = \partial\tau/\partial\beta_k$, namely,

$$\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t_{1+rh(k+1)}} = V_k\tau + \tau O_k, \quad k \geq -1. \tag{6.5}$$

They are the Virasoro constraints to the tau function of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy. As indicated in [20], it is interesting to consider a larger set of constraints to the tau function, such like tho W-constraints for $A_n^{(1)}$-hierarchies [1], for their application in two-dimensional physical systems.

At the end, Drinfeld and Sokolov's construction of integrable hierarchies has various generalizations such like in [18]. For these generalized hierarchies, it is natural to ask whether there is an analogous characterization of tau function and Virasoro symmetries as in this paper. We will study it in follow-up work.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Professor Youjin Zhang for his advising, and thanks Professors Boris Dubrovin and Si-Qi Liu for many hours of discussions. The research leading to these results has received specific funding under the "Young SISSA Scientists' Research Projects" scheme 2011-2012, promoted by the International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Trieste, Italy..

Appendix

A Tau functions of modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies

Recall the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\,c$ is defined by (2.11) with $\mathscr{L}$ given by a function q taking value in the Cartan subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. This particular gauge slice is related the others by gauge transformations (2.10) that do not change the definition of τ in (3.35), hence τ also serves as a tau function of the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy. Let us compare this tau function with those constructed in [8, 30].

A.1 Enriquez and Frenkel's tau function

For the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to an untwisted affine Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, Enriquez and Frenkel [8] found the following Hamiltonian densities:

$$\tilde{h}_j = (\Lambda_1 \mid e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\Lambda_j), \quad j \in E_+, \tag{A.1}$$

where U is given in Proposition 2.1. These densities satisfy

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j}{\partial t_i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_i}{\partial t_j} = \frac{\partial H_{i,j}}{\partial x}$$

with $H_{i,j}$ being differential polynomials in q; in other words, they are tau-symmetric. There locally exists a tau function $\tilde{\tau}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})}\tilde{h}_j = \frac{\partial^2 \log\tilde{\tau}}{\partial t_1\,\partial t_j}, \quad j \in E_+. \tag{A.2}$$

In comparison with those equations in § 5.5 of [8], here on the left hand side of (A.2) we assign a coefficient $1/(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})$ to make $\tilde{\tau}$ independent of the choice of invariant bilinear form.

According to Proposition 2.1, the freedom of the function U does not change the densities $\tilde{h}_j$. Without lose of generality, let us consider the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\,c$ instead of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, and fix U as in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition A.1 *For any modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$, the tau functions defined by* (A.2) *and by* (3.35) *satisfy*

$$\log\tilde{\tau} - \log\tau = \frac{1}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\right)^{-1}\big(\Lambda_1 \mid U_{-1}\big), \tag{A.3}$$

where $U_{-1} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}'^{-1})$ is uniquely determined by $[U_{-1}, \Lambda] = q$. In fact $(\Lambda_1 \mid U_{-1})$ is a nontrivial linear combination of components of q.

Proof According to the principal gradation (2.4) on $\mathfrak{g}'$, we write $U = U_{-1} + U_{-2} + \cdots$ with $U_k \in \mathfrak{g}'^k$. Since q takes value in the Cartan subalgebra, then $[U_{-1}, \Lambda] = q$.

Recall

$$\mathscr{L}_1 = e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \Lambda_1 - \sum_{i\in E_+}\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial\omega_i}{\partial t_1}\Lambda_{-i} - \omega_1\cdot c\right).$$

The projection of $\mathscr{L}_1 - \partial/\partial t_1$ onto $\mathfrak{g}'^{-1}$ vanishes, namely,

$$-\frac{\partial U_{-1}}{\partial t_1} + \left(e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\Lambda_1\right)_{-1} - \frac{\partial\omega_1}{\partial t_1}\Lambda_{-1} = 0.$$

Thus

$$(\Lambda_1 \mid e^{\mathrm{ad}_U}\Lambda_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}(\Lambda_1 \mid U_{-1}) + (\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})\frac{\partial^2\log\tau}{\partial t_1^2}. \tag{A.4}$$

Substitute (A.1) and (A.2) into the left hand side, then the equality (A.3) follows from integrations. The proposition is proved. □

Example A.2 Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be an affine Lie algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$. Take a realization of $\mathfrak{g}'$ as in Exampl 5.1, and $\mathscr{L} = D + \Lambda + q$ with $q = \operatorname{diag}(-v, v)$. By solving the equation of gauge transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(D + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -v & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.5}$$

$$= D + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.6}$$

one has $g = v$ and

$$u = -v^2 + v_x. \tag{A.7}$$

This is the well known Miura transformation that relates the KdV and the modified KdV equations. Note $\partial/\partial t_1 = \partial/\partial x$. It is easy to compute

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \tilde{\tau}}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} v^2, \tag{A.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{2} h_1 = -\frac{1}{2} (v^2 - v_x) = \frac{1}{2} u, \tag{A.9}$$

where (A.9) is a special case of (5.11). These two tau functions satisfy

$$v = 2\, \partial_x \log \frac{\tau}{\tilde{\tau}}, \tag{A.10}$$

which is an equivalent version of (A.2). The distinct tau functions τ and $\tilde{\tau}$ can be interpreted by a general result in [30], see Proposition A.4 below.

Enriquez and Frenkel's construction of tau function $\tilde{\tau}$ can be formally extended to the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies associated to twisted affine algebras.

Definition A.3 For the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to an arbitrary affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$, the function τ given in (3.35) is called the homogeneous tau function, and the function $\tilde{\tau}$ defined by

$$\log \tilde{\tau} = \log \tau + \frac{1}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \right)^{-1} \big(\Lambda_1 \mid U_{-1} \big), \tag{A.11}$$

in which $U_{-1} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}'^{-1})$ satisfies $[U_{-1}, \Lambda] = q$, is called the principal tau function.

A.2 Miramontes' tau function

Tau functions of the (generalized) modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy were also constructed by Miramontes [30]. His method is based on a highest weight representation of the Kac-Moody group, and the resulting tau function is related to a family of conserved densities of the hierarchy.

Let us recall some statements in [30], following closely the original notations used there. Given a gradation $\mathrm{s}' = (s'_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_n) \in \Gamma$ on the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ (recall (2.2)):

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}'_{j\,[\mathrm{s}']}. \tag{A.12}$$

Similarly as before, we use notations like $\mathfrak{g}'_{\le k\,[\mathrm{s}']} = \sum_{j\le k} \mathfrak{g}'_{j\,[\mathrm{s}']}$, and let the subscript "$\le k\,[\mathrm{s}']$" stand for the projection $\mathfrak{g}' \to \mathfrak{g}'_{\le k\,[\mathrm{s}']}$. Assume some element $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{g}'_{k\,[\mathrm{s}']}$ induces the following decomposition of subspaces:

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda + \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda, \quad \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda \cap \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda = \mathbb{C}\,c. \tag{A.13}$$

Here $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda$ is a subalgebra consisting of elements that commute with Λ modulo $\mathbb{C}\,c$; this subalgebra is generated by $\Lambda_j \in \mathfrak{g}'_{j\,[\mathrm{s}'']}$ ($j \in E_\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$) such that $[\Lambda_j, \Lambda_{-j}] \in \mathbb{C}\,c$.

Choose another gradation $\mathrm{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \preceq \mathrm{s}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}'$, namely, $s_i \le s'_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. With the principal and homogeneous gradations of $\mathfrak{g}'$ replaced by the gradations s' and s respectively, de Groot, Hollowood and Miramontes [18] defined the generalized Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies in a similar way as Definition 2.2.

In more details, let

$$\mathcal{L} = D + \Lambda + q + w \cdot c, \quad q \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}'_{0\,[\mathrm{s}]} \cap \mathfrak{g}'_{\le 0\,[\mathrm{s}']}\right), \tag{A.14}$$

where the central part of q is zero, and w is an arbitrary smooth function. Similar to Proposition 2.1, there exists a function $Y \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}'_{<0\,[\mathrm{s}']}\right)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{\mathrm{ad}_Y}(D + \Lambda + h^\Phi) = \Phi(D + \Lambda + h^\Phi)\Phi^{-1} \tag{A.15}$$

with $\Phi = e^Y$ and $h^\Phi \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda \cap \mathfrak{g}'_{\le 0\,[\mathrm{s}']}\right)$. Moreover, the functions Y and h^Φ are supposed to be specified by the constraints (see (2.25) in [30]):

$$Y \in \mathfrak{g}'_{<0\,[\mathrm{s}]}, \quad (h^\Phi)_{\ge 0\,[\mathrm{s}]} \in \mathbb{C}\,c. \tag{A.16}$$

Introduce operators

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + A_j, \quad A_j = (\Phi\Lambda_j\Phi^{-1})_{\ge 0\,[\mathrm{s}]} + w_j \cdot c, \quad j \in E_{\Lambda +}, \tag{A.17}$$

where w_j are some priori functions. The flows of the generalized Drinfled-Sokolov hierarchy are defined by the following equations

$$[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_j] = 0, \quad j \in E_{\Lambda +}. \tag{A.18}$$

These equations imply

$$\Phi^{-1}\mathcal{L}_j\Phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + \Lambda_j + h(j) + w_j \cdot c, \tag{A.19}$$

where

$$h(j) = \Phi^{-1}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t_j} - \Phi^{-1}(\Phi\Lambda_j\Phi^{-1})_{<0\,[\mathrm{s}]}\Phi \in \operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{ad}_\Lambda \cap \mathfrak{g}'_{<0\,[\mathrm{s}']}.$$

In [30] the hierarchy (A.18) is restricted by $t_1 = \nu x$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 = \nu\mathcal{L}$ with some normalization constant ν. Hence one has $\nu\, h^\Phi = h(1) + w_1 \cdot c$.

For every auxiliary gradation $\mathrm{m} = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n) \preceq \mathrm{s}$, there exists a derivation $d_0^{(\mathrm{m})}$ in the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of which the derived algebra is $\mathfrak{g}'$. For the sake of simplifying notations, we redenote $d_0^{(\mathrm{m})}$ as d_m. It satisfies (see Section 4)

$$[d_\mathrm{m}, e_i] = m_i\, e_i, \quad [d_\mathrm{m}, f_i] = -m_i\, f_i, \quad [d_\mathrm{m}, \alpha_i^\vee] = 0. \tag{A.20}$$

The standard bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}'$ is extended to $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$(d_\mathrm{m} \mid d_\mathrm{m}) = 0, \quad (d_\mathrm{m} \mid \alpha_i^\vee) = \frac{k_i}{k_i^\vee} m_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{A.21}$$

Note that $(d_\mathrm{m} \mid c) = N_\mathrm{m}$ with $N_\mathrm{m} = \sum_{i=0}^n k_i\, m_i$.

One can define the following conserved densities of the hierarchy (A.18) (see equation (3.8) in [30]):

$$J_{j,k}[\mathrm{m}] = \frac{N_{\mathrm{s}'}}{k\, N_\mathrm{m}}\big(d_\mathrm{m} \mid [\Phi\Lambda_k\Phi^{-1}, A_j]\big), \quad j, k \in E_{\Lambda+}. \tag{A.22}$$

Note that the freedom of Φ does not change these densities. These conserved densities are related to a tau function $\tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}$ as (cf. equation (4.13) in [30])

$$J_{j,k}[\mathrm{m}] = -\frac{N_{\mathrm{s}'}}{k}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}}{\partial t_j\, \partial t_k}. \tag{A.23}$$

Here $\bar{\mathrm{m}} = (m_0 k_0/k_0^\vee, \dots, m_n k_n/k_n^\vee)$, it corresponds to an integrable highest weight representation $L(\bar{\mathrm{m}})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight vector $|v_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}\rangle$, and $\tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}$ is defined by an element of the orbit of $|v_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}\rangle$ acted by the Kac-Moody group, see § 4.1 and the appendix in [30] for details.

Now let us compare the tau function in (A.23) with those in Definition A.3 for the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies. Henceforth we fix both s and s$'$ to be the principal gradation. In this case $E_\Lambda = E$ is the set of exponents of $\mathfrak{g}'$, and $\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{ad}_\Lambda$ is the principal Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$. Choose generators Λ_j normalized by (4.21), with $\Lambda_1 = \nu\Lambda = \nu\sum_{i=0}^n e_i$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \mathscr{L}_j \quad \text{for} \quad j \in E_+, \quad Y = U, \quad h(1) = \nu H$$

with U and H determined as in Proposition 3.1. Observe that both Y and h^Φ admit the constraint (A.16). In our understanding, the condition (A.16) is not fulfilled whenever s is the homogeneous gradation, for h^Φ always has a nontrivial projection to $\mathbb{C}\Lambda_{-1}$. That is why we only consider the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies here.

Proposition A.4 *For the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy associated to affine Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}'$, *the two tau functions in* (A.11) *can be considered as particular cases of tau functions in* (A.23) *with* $\mathrm{s} = \mathrm{s}' = \mathrm{s}^1$ *being the principal gradation. More precisely, the following equalities hold true*

$$\log \tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}} = \begin{cases} \log \tau, & \mathrm{m} = (1, 0, \dots, 0); \\ \\ \log \tilde{\tau}, & \mathrm{m} = (1, 1, \dots, 1). \end{cases} \tag{A.24}$$

Proof Since $N_{\mathrm{s}'}$ is equal to the Coxeter number h, one recasts (A.22) to

$$\begin{aligned} J_{j,k}[\mathrm{m}] =& \frac{h}{k\, N_{\mathrm{m}}} \left(d_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \left[\Phi \Lambda_k \Phi^{-1}, \mathcal{L}_j - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \right] \right) \\ =& \frac{h}{k\, N_{\mathrm{m}}} \left(d_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \Phi \left[\Lambda_k, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + \Lambda_j + h(j) + w_j \cdot c \right] \Phi^{-1} \right) \\ &+ \frac{h}{k\, N_{\mathrm{m}}} \left(d_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} (\Phi \Lambda_k \Phi^{-1}) \right) \\ =& \frac{h}{k} [\Lambda_k, h(j)]_c + \frac{h}{k\, N_{\mathrm{m}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \left(d_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \Phi \Lambda_k \Phi^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.25}$$

If the auxiliary gradation $\mathrm{m} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the homogeneous one, then $N_{\mathrm{m}} = k_0$. By virtue of

$$(d_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \alpha_i^\vee) = \delta_{i0} \frac{k_i}{k_i^\vee}, \quad (\Phi \Lambda_k \Phi^{-1})_c = (e^{\mathrm{ad}_U} \Lambda_k)_c = 0,$$

the second term in (A.25) vanishes. Hence we have

$$\frac{k}{h} J_{1,k}[\mathrm{m}] = [\Lambda_k, h(1)]_c = \frac{k}{(\Lambda_k \mid \Lambda_{-k})} (\Lambda_k \mid \nu H), \tag{A.26}$$

namely,

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}}{\partial t_1\, \partial t_k} = -\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial t_1\, \partial t_k}. \tag{A.27}$$

If $\mathrm{m} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is the principal gradation, then $N_{\mathrm{m}} = h$. By using $(\Lambda_j \mid \Lambda_k) = \delta_{j,-k}\, h$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} J_{1,1}[\mathrm{m}] =& [\Lambda_1, h(1)]_c + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} (d_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \Phi \Lambda_1 \Phi) \\ =& \frac{(\Lambda_1 \mid \nu H)}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})} + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} (d_{\mathrm{m}} \mid [U_{-1}, \Lambda_1]) \\ =& -\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial t_1^2} - \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} ([d_{\mathrm{m}}, \Lambda_1] \mid U_{-1}) \\ =& -\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau}{\partial t_1^2} - \frac{1}{(\Lambda_1 \mid \Lambda_{-1})} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} (\Lambda_1 \mid U_{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{A.28}$$

This together with (A.23) and (A.3) leads to

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}}{\partial t_1^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \log \tilde{\tau}}{\partial t_1^2}. \tag{A.29}$$

Therefore, the proposition follows from (A.27) and (A.29) in the same way as to show Proposition 5.2. □

The proof of this theorem also implies that, whenever s is the principal gradation, one can choose $n+1$ linearly independent auxiliary gradations $\mathrm{m} \preceq \mathrm{s}$, which correspond to $n+1$ distinct tau functions $\tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}$ of the modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy. In particular, suppose $\mathfrak{g}'$ is of type $A_1^{(1)}$, then such two tau functions of the modified KdV hierarchy are given in Example A.2.

Remark A.5 Generally, the expressions (A.11) and (A.24) shows that both $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tau_{\bar{\mathrm{m}}}$ depend on the special choice of gauge slice of $\mathscr{L}$, hence the formulae (A.2) or (A.23) cannot be applied directly to define tau functions for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of KdV type. □

References

[1] Adler, M.; van Moerbeke, P. A matrix integral solution to two-dimensional W_p-gravity, Comm. Math. Phys. 147 (1992), no. 1, 25–56.

[2] Date, E; Kashiwara, M; Jimbo, M; Miwa, T. Transformation groups for soliton equations. Nonlinear integrable systems—classical theory and quantum theory (Kyoto, 1981), 39–119, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1983.

[3] Dijkgraaf, R.; Verlinde, H.; Verlinde, E. Topological strings in $d < 1$. Nuclear Phys. B 352 (1991), no. 1, 59–86.

[4] Drinfeld, V. G.; Sokolov, V. V. Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type. (Russian) Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 24, 81–180, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984.

[5] Dubrovin, B. Geometry of 2D topological field theories. Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), 120–348, Lecture Notes in Math., 1620, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[6] Dubrovin, B.; Liu, S.-Q.; Zhang, Y. Frobenius manifolds and central invariants for the Drinfeld-Sokolov biHamiltonian structures. (English summary) Adv. Math. 219 (2008), no. 3, 780–837.

[7] Dubrovin, B.; Zhang, Y. Normal forms of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants, preprint arXiv: math.DG/0108160.

[8] Enriquez, B.; Frenkel, E. Equivalence of two approaches to integrable hierarchies of KdV type. Comm. Math. Phys. 185 (1997), 211–230.

[9] Eguchi, T.; Yamada, Y.; Yang, S.-K. On the genus expansion in the topological string theory. (English summary) Rev. Math. Phys. 7 (1995), no. 3, 279–309.

[10] Faber, C.; Shadrin, S.; Zvonkine, D. Tautological relations and the r-spin Witten conjecture. (English, French summary) Ann. Sci. c. Norm. Supr. (4) 43 (2010), no. 4, 621–658.

[11] Fan, H.; Jarvis, T. J.; Ruan, Y. The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory, preprint arXiv: math.AG/0712.4021v3.

[12] Feigin, B.; Frenkel, E. Kac-Moody groups and integrability of soliton equations. Invent. Math. 120 (1995), 379–408.

[13] Frenkel, E.; Givental, A.; Milanov, T. Soliton equations, vertex operators, and simple singularities. (English summary) Funct. Anal. Other Math. 3 (2010), no. 1, 47–63.

[14] Fuchssteiner, B.; Oevel, W. The bi-Hamiltonian structure of some nonlinear fifth- and seventh-order differential equations and recursion formulas for their symmetries and conserved covaria. J. Math. Phys. 23 (1982), no. 3, 358–363.

[15] Gelfand, I. M.; Dikii, L. A. Fractional powers of operators, and Hamiltonian systems. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozen. 10 (1976), no. 4, 13–29.

[16] Givental, A. A_{n-1}-singularities and nKdV hierarchies. Dedicated to Vladimir I. Arnold on the occasion of his 65th birthday. Mosc. Math. J. 3 (2003), no. 2, 475–505, 743.

[17] Givental, A.; Milanov, T. Simple singularities and integrable hierarchies. The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, 173–201, Progr. Math., 232, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.

[18] de Groot, M. F.; Hollowood, T. J.; Miramontes, J.L. Generalized Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies. Comm. Math. Phys. 145 (1992), no. 1, 57–84.

[19] Hollowood, T. J.; Miramontes, J. L. Tau-functions and generalized integrable hierarchies. Comm. Math. Phys. 157 (1993), no. 1, 99–117.

[20] Hollowood, T. J.; Miramontes, J. L.; Snchez Guillén, J. Additional symmetries of generalized integrable hierarchies. (English summary) J. Phys. A 27 (1994), no. 13, 4629–4644.

[21] Imbens, H.-J. Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies and τ-functions. Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988), 352–368, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 7, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

[22] Jimbo, M.; Miwa, T. Solitons and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 19 (1983), no. 3, 943–1001.

[23] Kac, V. G. Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990, RI, 1989.

[24] Kac, V. G., Wakimoto, M. Exceptional hierarchies of soliton equations. Theta functions–Bowdoin 1987, Part 1 (Brunswick, ME, 1987), 191–237, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 49, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[25] Kaup, D. J. On the inverse scattering problem for cubic eigenvalue problems of the class $\psi_{xxx} + 6Q\psi_x + 6R\psi = \lambda\psi$. Stud. Appl. Math. 62 (1980), no. 3, 189–216.

[26] Kontsevich, M. Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. Comm. Math. Phys. 147 (1992), no. 1, 1–23.

[27] Kupershmidt, B. A.; Wilson, G. Modifying Lax equations and the second Hamiltonian structure. Invent. Math. 62 (1981), no. 3, 403–436.

[28] Liu, S.-Q.; Wu C.-Z.; Zhang Y. On properties of Hamiltonian structures for a class of evolutionary PDEs. (English summary) Lett. Math. Phys. 84 (2008), no. 1, 47–63.

[29] Liu, S.-Q.; Wu C.-Z.; Zhang Y. On the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of D type. Intern. Math. Res. Notices, 2011, no. 8, 1952–1996.

[30] Miramontes, J. L. Tau-functions generating the conservation laws for generalized integrable hierarchies of KdV and affine Toda type. Nuclear Phys. B 547 (1999), 623–663.

[31] Sawada, K.; Kotera, T. A method for finding NN-soliton solutions of the K.d.V. equation and K.d.V.-like equation. Progr. Theoret. Phys. 51 (1974), 1355–1367.

[32] Takasaki, K.; Takebe, T. Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit. Rev. Math. Phys. 7 (1995), 743–808.

[33] de Vos, K. Symmetries of integrable hierarchies and matrix model constraints. Nuclear Phys. B 375 (1992), no. 2, 478–500.

[34] Wakimoto, M. Affine Lie algebras and the Virasoro algebra. I. Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) 12 (1986), no. 2, 379–400.

[35] Wilson, G. The modified Lax and two-dimensional Toda lattice equations associated with simple Lie algebras. Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 1 (1981), no. 3, 361–380 (1982).

[36] Witten, E. Two-dimensional gauge theories revisited. J. Geom. Phys. 9 (1992), no. 4, 303–368.

[37] Wu, C.-Z. A Remark on Kac-Wakimoto Hierarchies of D-type. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010), no. 3, 035201.

[38] Wu, C.-Z. From additional symmetries to linearization of Virasoro symmetries. Preprint arXiv: nlin.SI/1112.0246.